Specification for RFID Air Interface



EPC[™] Radio-Frequency Identity Protocols Class-1 Generation-2 UHF RFID Conformance Requirements

Version 1.0.5

This version was approved by the EPCglobal Technical Steering Committee on March 23, 2007.

Copyright notice

© 2007 EPCglobal Inc.

All rights reserved. Unauthorized reproduction, modification, and/or use of this Document is not permitted. Requests for permission to reproduce should be addressed to <u>epcglobal@epcglobalinc.org</u>.

EPCglobal Inc.[™] is providing this document as a service to interested industries. This document was developed through a consensus process of interested parties. Although efforts have been to assure that the document is correct, reliable, and technically accurate, EPCglobal Inc. makes NO WARRANTY, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, THAT THIS DOCUMENT IS CORRECT, WILL NOT REQUIRE MODIFICATION AS EXPERIENCE AND TECHNOLOGICAL ADVANCES DICTATE, OR WILL BE SUITABLE FOR ANY PURPOSE OR WORKABLE IN ANY APPLICATION, OR OTHERWISE. Use of this Proposal Document is with the understanding that EPCglobal Inc. has no liability for any claim to the contrary, or for any damage or loss of any kind or nature.

Disclaimer

Whilst every effort has been made to ensure that this document and the information contained herein are correct, EPCglobal and any other party involved in the creation of the document hereby state that the document is provided on an "as is" basis without warranty, either expressed or implied, including but not limited to any warranty that the use of the information herein will not infringe any rights, of accuracy or fitness for purpose, and hereby disclaim any liability, direct or indirect, for damages or loss relating to the use of the document.

Contents

OREWORD				
INTRODUCTION	.4			
1. SCOPE	. 5			
2. CONFORMANCE 2.1 CLAIMING CONFORMANCE 2.2 GENERAL CONFORMANCE REQUIREMENTS 2.2.1 Interrogators 2.2.2 Tags 2.3 COMMAND STRUCTURE AND EXTENSIBILITY 2.3.1 Mandatory commands 2.3.2 Optional commands 2.3.3 Proprietary commands 2.3.4 Custom commands	.5.5.5.6.6.6.6.6.			
3. NORMATIVE REFERENCES	.6			
TERMS AND DEFINITIONS 4.1 ADDITIONAL TERMS AND DEFINITIONS	. 8 . 8			
 SYMBOLS, ABBREVIATED TERMS, AND NOTATION	.9 .9 .9 .9			
6. PROTOCOL REQUIREMENTS	10			
7. REVISION HISTORY	43			
ANNEX A	14 44 44			

Foreword

This document specifies the requirements for a Class-1 radio-frequency identification (RFID) Tag or Interrogator to be certified as conformant to the *EPCglobalTM* Class-1 Generation-2 UHF RFID Protocol for Communications at 860 MHz – 960 MHz (**the Protocol**), where compliance, conformance, and certification shall have the following meanings:

Compliance

Suitability of products, processes, or services, for use together, under specified conditions, without causing unacceptable interactions, in fulfillment of the requirements of a protocol.

Conformance

Fulfillment by a product, process, or service of the specified compliance requirements.

Certification

Measurement of a product, process, or service to ensure conformance.

Introduction

This document specifies the conformance requirements for a passive-backscatter, Interrogator-talks-first (ITF), radio-frequency identification (RFID) system operating in the 860 MHz – 960 MHz frequency range. The system comprises Interrogators, also known as Readers, and Tags, also known as Labels.

An Interrogator transmits information to a Tag by modulating an RF signal in the 860 MHz – 960 MHz frequency range. The Tag receives both information and operating energy from this RF signal. Tags are passive, meaning that they receive all of their operating energy from the Interrogator's RF waveform.

An Interrogator receives information from a Tag by transmitting a continuous-wave (CW) RF signal to the Tag; the Tag responds by modulating the reflection coefficient of its antenna, thereby backscattering an information signal to the Interrogator. The system is ITF, meaning that a Tag modulates its antenna reflection coefficient with an information signal only after being directed to do so by an Interrogator.

Interrogators and Tags are not required to talk simultaneously; rather, communications are half-duplex, meaning that Interrogators talk and Tags listen, or vice versa.

1. Scope

This document specifies:

- Compliance requirements for physical interactions (the signaling layer of the communications) between Interrogators and Tags, and
- Compliance requirements for Interrogator and Tag operating procedures and commands.

2. Conformance

2.1 Claiming conformance

A device shall not claim conformance with the Protocol unless certified, in writing, by EPCglobal, Inc., or one of its designated representatives. To conform, a device shall comply with all clauses in this document (except those marked as optional) and all local radio regulations. Conformance may also require a license from the owner of any intellectual property utilized by said device.

2.2 General conformance requirements

2.2.1 Interrogators

To conform to the Protocol, an Interrogator shall:

- Meet the requirements of the Protocol,
- Implement the mandatory commands defined in the Protocol,
- Modulate/transmit and receive/demodulate a sufficient set of the electrical signals defined in the signaling layer of the Protocol to communicate with conformant Tags, and
- Conform to all local radio regulations.

To conform to the Protocol, an Interrogator may:

- Implement any subset of the optional commands defined in the Protocol, and
- Implement any proprietary and/or custom commands in conformance with the Protocol.

To conform to the Protocol, an Interrogator shall not:

- Implement any command that conflicts with the Protocol, or
- Require using an optional, proprietary, or custom command to meet the requirements of the Protocol.

2.2.2 Tags

To conform to the Protocol, a Tag shall:

- Meet the requirements of the Protocol,
- Implement the mandatory commands defined in the Protocol,
- Modulate a backscatter signal only after receiving the requisite command from an Interrogator, and
- Conform to all local radio regulations when appropriately commanded by an Interrogator.

To conform to the Protocol, a Tag may:

- Implement any subset of the optional commands defined in the Protocol, and
- Implement any proprietary and/or custom commands as defined in 2.3.3 and 2.3.4, respectively.

To conform to the Protocol, a Tag shall not:

- Implement any command that conflicts with the Protocol,
- Require using an optional, proprietary, or custom command to meet the requirements of the Protocol, or
- Modulate a backscatter signal unless commanded to do so by an Interrogator using the signaling layer defined in the Protocol.

2.3 Command structure and extensibility

Subclause 6.3.2.10 of the Protocol defines the structure of the command codes used by Interrogators and Tags, as well as the availability of future extensions. Each command is defined and labeled as mandatory or optional.

2.3.1 Mandatory commands

Conforming Tags and Interrogators shall support all mandatory commands.

2.3.2 Optional commands

Conforming Interrogators may or may not support optional commands. Conforming Tags may or may not support optional commands. If an Interrogator or a Tag implements an optional command, it shall implement it in the manner specified.

2.3.3 Proprietary commands

Proprietary commands may be enabled in conformance with the Protocol, but are not specified in the Protocol. All proprietary commands shall be capable of being permanently disabled. Proprietary commands are intended for manufacturing purposes and shall not be used in field-deployed RFID systems.

2.3.4 Custom commands

Custom commands may be enabled in conformance with the Protocol, but are not specified in the Protocol. An Interrogator shall issue a custom command only after singulating a Tag and reading (or having prior knowledge of) the Tag manufacturer's identification in the Tag's TID memory. An Interrogator shall use a custom command only in accordance with the specifications of the Tag manufacturer identified in the TID.

3. Normative references

The following referenced documents are indispensable to the application of this document. For dated references, only the edition cited applies. For undated references, the latest edition (including any amendments) applies.

EPCglobal[™]: EPC[™] Radio-Frequency Identity Protocols, Class-1 Generation-2 UHF RFID, Protocol for Communications at 860 MHz – 960 MHz, Version 1.1.0

EPCglobal[™]: EPC[™] Tag Data Standards

EPCglobal[™] (2004): FMCG RFID Physical Requirements Document (draft)

EPCglobal[™] (2004): Class-1 Generation-2 UHF RFID Implementation Reference (draft)

European Telecommunications Standards Institute (ETSI), EN 300 220 (all parts): *Electromagnetic compatibility* and Radio spectrum Matters (ERM); Short Range Devices (SRD); Radio equipment to be used in the 25 MHz to 1000 MHz frequency range with power levels ranging up to 500 mW

European Telecommunications Standards Institute (ETSI), EN 302 208: *Electromagnetic compatibility and radio* spectrum matters (*ERM*) – *Radio-frequency identification equipment operating in the band 865 MHz to 868 MHz with power levels up to 2 W, Part 1 – Technical characteristics and test methods*

European Telecommunications Standards Institute (ETSI), EN 302 208: *Electromagnetic compatibility and radio* spectrum matters (*ERM*) – *Radio-frequency identification equipment operating in the band 865 MHz to 868 MHz with power levels up to 2 W, Part 2 – Harmonized EN under article 3.2 of the R&TTE directive*

ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2: Rules for the structure and drafting of International Standards

ISO/IEC 3309: Information technology – Telecommunications and information exchange between systems – High-level data link control (HDLC) procedures – Frame structure

ISO/IEC 15961: Information technology, Automatic identification and data capture – Radio frequency identification (RFID) for item management – Data protocol: application interface

ISO/IEC 15962: Information technology, Automatic identification and data capture techniques – Radio frequency identification (RFID) for item management – Data protocol: data encoding rules and logical memory functions

ISO/IEC 15963: Information technology — Radiofrequency identification for item management — Unique identification for RF tags.

ISO/IEC 18000-1: Information technology — Radio frequency identification for item management — Part 1: Reference architecture and definition of parameters to be standardized

ISO/IEC 18000-6: Information technology automatic identification and data capture techniques — Radio frequency identification for item management air interface — Part 6: Parameters for air interface communications at 860– 960 MHz

ISO/IEC 19762: Information technology AIDC techniques – Harmonized vocabulary – Part 3: radio-frequency identification (RFID)

U.S. Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), Title 47, Chapter I, Part 15: Radio-frequency devices, U.S. Federal Communications Commission

4. Terms and definitions

The principal terms and definitions used in this document are described in the Protocol and in ISO/IEC 19762.

4.1 Additional terms and definitions

Terms and definitions specific to this document that supersede any normative references are as follows:

• By design

Design parameters and/or theoretical analysis that ensure compliance. A vendor submitting a component or system for compliance testing shall provide the necessary technical information, in the form of a technical memorandum or similar. A test laboratory approved by EPCglobal[™] shall certify the technical analysis as being sufficient to ensure conformance of the component or system.

For Protocol requirements that are verified **by design**, the method of technical analysis is at the discretion of the submitting vendor and, except in special cases, is not specified by this document. In general, the technical analysis shall have sufficient rigor and technical depth to convince a test engineer knowledgeable of the Protocol that the particular requirement has been met.

• By demonstration

Laboratory testing of one, or if required for statistical reasons multiple, products, processes, or services to ensure compliance. A test laboratory certified by EPCglobal[™] shall perform the indicated testing to ensure conformance of the component or system.

For Protocol requirements that are verified **by demonstration**, the test conditions are specified by this document. The detailed test plan is at the discretion of the certifying test laboratory.

Interrogators submitted for testing purposes shall include physical connections and test modes suitable for the certifying laboratory to evaluate Interrogator performance under the test conditions specified in this document.

Tags submitted for testing purposes shall include all documentation required by 6.3.1.3.5 of the Protocol. The certifying laboratory's test plan will specify the submitted Tags' memory contents (i.e. the contents of Reserved, EPC, TID, and User memory as well as the lock status of these memory banks).

• As implemented

If a Tag or Interrogator implements a subset of the Protocol, compliance shall be verified over the subset actually implemented. For example, although Interrogators may implement DSB-ASK, SSB-ASK, or PR-ASK modulation, a manufacturer may choose to only implement DSB-ASK modulation, in which case compliance testing shall only use DSB-ASK modulation. For parameters that are continuously variable, compliance shall be verified at the minimum and maximum values of the implemented range, unless the test conditions specifically state otherwise.

5. Symbols, abbreviated terms, and notation

The principal symbols and abbreviated terms used in this document are detailed in

- ISO/IEC 19762: Information technology AIDC techniques vocabulary.
- EPCglobal[™]: EPC[™] Radio-Frequency Identity Protocols, Class-1 Generation-2 UHF RFID, Protocol for Communications at 860 MHz 960 MHz, Version 1.1.0.

Symbols, abbreviated terms, and notation specific to this document are as follows:

5.1 Symbols

None

5.2 Abbreviated terms

None

5.3 Notation

This document uses the following notational conventions:

- States and flags are denoted in bold. Example: **ready**.
- Commands are denoted in italics. Variables are also denoted in italics. Where there might be confusion between commands and variables, this specification will make an explicit statement. Example: *Query*.
- Command parameters are underlined. Example: Pointer.
- For logical negation, labels are preceded by '~'. Example: If flag is true, then ~flag is false.
- The symbol, R=>T, refers to commands or signaling from an Interrogator to a Tag (Reader-to-Tag).
- The symbol, T=>R, refers to commands or signaling from a Tag to an Interrogator (Tag-to-Reader).

6. Protocol requirements

ltem	Protocol Subclause	Requirement	Applies To	How Verified
1	6.1.1	Tags shall not be required to demodulate Interrogator commands while backscattering.	Tag	By design
2	6.1.1	A Tag shall not respond to a mandatory or optional com- mand using full-duplex communications.	Tag	By design
3	6.3.1.1	Tags shall receive power from and communicate with Interrogators within the frequency range from 860 MHz to 960 MHz, inclusive.	Tag	By demonstration Test conditions: Temp: 23 +/- 3 °C Freq: 860, 910, & 960 MHz Power: 0 dBm at Tag antenna Modulation: DSB-ASK Tari: 25 μ s RTcal: 62.5 μ s PW: 0.5 Tari Modulation depth: 90% Rise/fall time: \leq 0.33 Tari TRcal: 100 μ s DR: 8 M: 1 TRext: 0
4	6.3.1.1	Interrogators certified for operation in dense-Interrogator environments shall support, but are not required to al- ways use, the dense-Interrogator mode described in <u>Annex G</u> .	Interro- gator	By design
5	6.3.1.2	Interrogators shall use a fixed modulation format and data rate for the duration of an inventory round, where "inventory round" is defined in 4.1	Interro- gator	By design
6	6.3.1.2.1	Interrogators certified for operation in single- or multiple- Interrogator environments shall have a frequency accu- racy that meets local regulations.	Interro- gator	By design
7	6.3.1.2.1	Interrogators certified for operation in dense-Interrogator environments shall have a frequency accuracy of +/– 10 ppm over the nominal temperature range (–25 °C to +40 °C) and +/– 20 ppm over the extended temperature range (–40°C to +65°C) while transmitting, unless local regulations specify tighter accuracy, in which case the Interrogator frequency accuracy shall meet the local regulations.	Interro- gator	 By demonstration, for dense- Interrogator certification, un- less local regulations specify tighter frequency accuracy than the Protocol, in which case the Interrogator manufac- turer shall provide evidence of certification by the local regula- tory body in lieu of laboratory demonstration. Test conditions: Temp: max(-40, minimum sup- ported temperature) and min(65, maximum supported temperature range exceeds -25 or 40 then testing shall al- so be performed at -25 or 40 respectively. All temperatures are in °C (all +/- 3 °C). See Annex A, Q7. Freq: 5 test points situated at the band edges and linearly span- ning the supported band at va- lid channel frequencies.
8	6.3.1.2.2	Interrogators shall communicate using DSB-ASK, SSB- ASK, or PR-ASK modulation, detailed in Annex H.	Interro- gator	By design

ltem	Protocol Subclause	Requirement	Applies To	How Verified
9	6.3.1.2.2	Tags shall demodulate all three modulation types.	Tag	By demonstration <u>Test conditions:</u> Temp: 23 +/- 3 °C Freq: 860 & 960 MHz Power: 0 dBm at Tag antenna Modulation: DSB-ASK, SSB-ASK, & PR-ASK Tari: 6.25, 12.5, & 25 µs RTcal: 2.5×Tari PW: min and max Modulation depth: 90% ASK, 200% PR-ASK DSB-ASK rise/fall time: ≤ 0.33 Tari SSB-ASK rise/fall time: ≤ 0.62×PW TRcal: 2×RTcal DR: 8 M: 1 TRext: 0
10	6.3.1.2.3	The R=>T link shall use PIE, shown in Figure 6.1.	Interro- gator	By design
11	6.3.1.2.3	Pulse modulation depth, rise time, fall time, and PW shall be as specified in Table 6.5, and shall be the same for a data-0 and a data-1.	Interro- gator	By demonstration <u>Test conditions:</u> Temp: 23 +/- 3 °C Freq: At channel frequency closest to center of supported band. Power: Maximum Interrogator transmit power, as imple- mented. Other transmit parameters: As implemented See Annex A, Q10.
12	6.3.1.2.3	Interrogators shall use a fixed modulation depth, rise time, fall time, PW, Tari, data-0 length, and data-1 length for the duration of an inventory round.	Interro- gator	By design
13	6.3.1.2.3	The RF envelope shall be as specified in Figure 6.2 [and Table 6.6].	Interro- gator	By demonstration <u>Test conditions:</u> Temp: 23 +/- 3 °C Freq: At channel frequency closest to center of supported band. Power: Maximum Interrogator transmit power, as imple- mented. Other transmit parameters: As implemented See Annex A, Q10.
14	6.3.1.2.4	Interrogators shall communicate using Tari values in the range of 6.25µs to 25µs.b	Interro- gator	By design
15	6.3.1.2.4	Interrogator compliance shall be evaluated using at least one Tari value between $6.25\mu s$ and $25\mu s$ with at least one value of the parameter <i>x</i> .	Interro- gator	This document uses vendor pre- ferred Tari and x values as consis- tent with the Protocol.

Item	Protocol Subclause	Requirement	Applies To	How Verified
16	6.3.1.2.4	The tolerance on all parameters specified in units of Tari shall be +/–1%.	Interro- gator	By demonstration <u>Test conditions:</u> Temp: Either (a) or (b) shown be- low a) Single and Multi- Interrogators: 23 °C +/- 3 °C b) Dense-Interrogators tested at modulation, data rate, and encoding parameters speci- fied in Annex G of the Proto- col specification: max(-40, minimum supported tempera- ture) and min(65, maximum supported temperature range exceeds -25 or 40 then test- ing shall also be performed at -25 or 40 respectively. All temperatures are in °C (all +/- 3 °C). See Annex A, Q7. Freq: At channel frequency closest to center of supported band. Power: Maximum Interrogator transmit power, as imple- mented. Other transmit parameters: As implemented
17	6.3.1.2.4	The choice of Tari value and <i>x</i> shall be in accordance with local radio regulations.	Interro- gator	By design
18	6.3.1.2.5	The R=>T RF envelope shall comply with Figure 6.2 and Table 6.5.	Interro- gator	Tested in compliance with 6.3.1.2.3
19	6.3.1.2.5	An Interrogator shall not change the R=>T modulation type (i.e. shall not switch between DSB-ASK, SSB-ASK, or PR-ASK) without first powering down its RF waveform (see 6.3.1.2.7).	Interro- gator	By design
20	6.3.1.2.6	The Interrogator power-up RF envelope shall comply with Figure 6.3 and Table 6.6.	Interro- gator	By demonstration <u>Test conditions:</u> Temp: 23 +/- 3 °C Freq: At channel frequency closest to center of supported band. Power: Maximum Interrogator transmit power, as imple- mented. See Annex A, Q8.
21	6.3.1.2.6	Once the carrier level has risen above the 10% level, the power-up envelope shall rise monotonically until at least the ripple limit M_1 . The RF envelope shall not fall below the 90% point in Figure 6.3 during interval T_s .	Interro- gator	By demonstration <u>Test conditions:</u> Temp: 23 +/- 3 °C Freq: At channel frequency closest to center of supported band. Power: Maximum Interrogator transmit power, as imple- mented. See Annex A, Q9.
22	6.3.1.2.6	Interrogators shall not issue commands before the end of the maximum settling-time interval in Table 6.6 (i.e. before T_s).	Interro- gator	By design

Item	Protocol Subclause	Requirement	Applies To	How Verified
23	6.3.1.2.7	The Interrogator power-down RF envelope shall comply with Figure 6.3 and Table 6.7.	Interro- gator	By demonstration <u>Test conditions:</u> Temp: 23 +/- 3 °C Freq: At channel frequency closest to center of supported band. Power: Maximum Interrogator transmit power, as imple- mented.
24	6.3.1.2.7	Once the carrier level has fallen below the 90% level, the power-down envelope shall fall monotonically until the power-off limit M_s .	Interro- gator	By demonstration <u>Test conditions:</u> Temp: 23 +/- 3 °C Freq: At channel frequency closest to center of supported band. Power: Maximum Interrogator transmit power, as imple- mented. See Annex A, Q9.
25	6.3.1.2.7	Once powered off, an Interrogator shall remain powered off for a least 1ms before powering up again.	Interro- gator	By design
26	6.3.1.2.8	An Interrogator shall begin all R=>T signaling with either a preamble or a frame-sync, both of which are shown in Figure 6.4.	Interro- gator	By design
27	6.3.1.2.8	A preamble shall precede a <i>Query</i> command (see 6.3.2.10.2.1) and denotes the start of an inventory round.	Interro- gator	By design
28	6.3.1.2.8	All other signaling shall begin with a frame-sync.	Interro- gator	By design
29	6.3.1.2.8	The tolerance on all parameters specified in units of Tari shall be +/–1%.	Interro- gator	Tested in compliance with 6.3.1.2.3
30	6.3.1.2.8	PW shall be as specified in Table 6.5.	Interro- gator	Tested in compliance with 6.3.1.2.3
31	6.3.1.2.8	The RF envelope shall be as specified in Figure 6.2.	Interro- gator	By design
32	6.3.1.2.8	A preamble shall comprise a fixed-length start delimiter, a data-0 symbol, an R=>T calibration (RTcal) symbol, and a T=>R calibration (TRcal) symbol.	Interro- gator	By demonstration <u>Test conditions:</u> Temp: 23 +/- 3 °C Freq: At channel frequency closest to center of supported band. Power: Maximum Interrogator transmit power, as imple- mented. Other transmit parameters: As implemented
33	6.3.1.2.8	An Interrogator shall set RTcal equal to the length of a data-0 symbol plus the length of a data-1 symbol (RTcal = $0_{\text{length}} + 1_{\text{length}}$).	Interro- gator	By design
34	6.3.1.2.8	A Tag shall measure the length of RTcal and compute $pivot = RTcal / 2$.	Тад	By design
35	6.3.1.2.8	The Tag shall interpret subsequent Interrogator symbols shorter than <i>pivot</i> to be data-0s, and subsequent Interrogator symbols longer than <i>pivot</i> to be data-1s.	Tag	By design
36	6.3.1.2.8	The Tag shall interpret symbols longer than 4 RTcal to be bad data.	Tag	By design
37	6.3.1.2.8	Prior to changing RTcal, an Interrogator shall transmit CW for a minimum of 8 RTcal.	Interro- gator	By design

ltem	Protocol Subclause	Requirement	Applies To	How Verified
38	6.3.1.2.8	An Interrogator shall specify a Tag's backscatter link fre- quency (its FM0 datarate or the frequency of its Miller subcarrier) using the TRcal and divide ratio (DR) in the preamble and payload, respectively, of a <i>Query</i> com- mand that initiates an inventory round.	Interro- gator	By design
39	6.3.1.2.8	A Tag shall measure the length of TRcal, compute BLF, and adjust its T=>R link rate to be equal to BLF (Table 6.9 shows BLF values and tolerances).	Tag	Tested in compliance with 6.3.1.3.3
40	6.3.1.2.8	The TRcal and RTcal that an Interrogator uses in any inventory round shall meet the constraints in Equation (2)	Interro- gator	By design
41	6.3.1.2.8	An Interrogator, for the duration of an inventory round, shall use the same length RTcal in a frame-sync as it used in the preamble that initiated the round.	Interro- gator	By design
42	6.3.1.2.9	When an Interrogator uses frequency-hopping spread spectrum (FHSS) signaling, the Interrogator's RF envelope shall comply with Figure 6.5 and Table 6.8. The RF envelope shall not fall below the 90% point in Figure 6.5 during interval T_{hs} .	Interro- gator	By demonstration, for Interroga- tors that use FHSS: <u>Test conditions:</u> Temp: 23 +/– 3 °C Freq: At channel frequency closest to center of supported band. Power: Maximum Interrogator transmit power, as imple- mented.
43	6.3.1.2.9	Interrogators shall not issue commands before the end of the maximum settling-time interval in Table 6.8 (i.e. before T_{hs}).	Interro- gator	By design
44	6.3.1.2.9	The maximum time between frequency hops and the minimum RF-off time during a hop shall meet local regulatory requirements.	Interro- gator	By design
45	6.3.1.2.10	Interrogators certified for operation in single-Interrogator environments shall meet local regulations for spread- spectrum channelization.	Interro- gator	By design
46	6.3.1.2.10	Interrogators certified for operation in multiple- or dense- Interrogator environments shall meet local regulations for spread-spectrum channelization, unless the channeliza- tion is unregulated, in which case Interrogators shall adopt the channelization described by the algorithm in Figure G.1 (Annex G describes multiple- and dense- Interrogator channelized signaling).	Interro- gator	 By demonstration, for multiple- or dense-Interrogator certification. <u>Test conditions:</u> Temp: 23 +/- 3 °C Freq: Either (a) or (b) shown below a) Interrogators that are capable of commanding Tags to backscatter using subcarrier signaling: 50 discrete center frequencies as specified in Table G.1 of the Protocol. b) Interrogators that are not capable of commanding Tags to backscatter using subcarrier signaling: All center frequencies supported by the Interrogator (note: the certification laboratory reserves the right to test a random subset of the Interrogator's supported center frequencies). Power: Maximum Interrogator transmit power, as implemented
47	6.3.1.2.11	Interrogators certified for operation according to this pro- tocol shall meet local regulations for out-of-channel and out-of-band spurious radio-frequency emissions.	Interro- gator	By design

Item	Protocol Subclause	Requirement	Applies To	How Verified
48	6.3.1.2.11	Interrogators certified for operation in multiple- Interrogator environments, in addition to meeting local regulations, shall also meet the Multiple-Interrogator Transmit Mask defined in this specification.	Interro- gator	 By demonstration, for multiple- Interrogator certification. <u>Test conditions:</u> Temp: 23 +/- 3 °C Freq: At channel frequency closest to center of supported band. Power: Maximum Interrogator transmit power, as imple- mented. Channel width: 200 kHz for Inter- rogators certified for operation in Europe; A maximum of 500 kHz for Interrogators certified for operation in North America. Modulation: As implemented Transmit data: Either (a) or (b), below a) a continuous repeating 9-bit maximum length sequence with polynomial x⁹ + x⁴ + 1, ini- tially seeded with all ones, re- sulting in a repeating 511-bit sequence of FF83DF1732094ED1E7CD8A 91C6D5C4C44021184E5586F 4DC8A15A7EC92DF9353301 8CA34BFA2C759678FBA0D6 DB82D7D540A57977039D27 AEA243385ED9A1DE0h, or b) a single <i>Select</i> command with a 252 bit Mask value set to ACBCD2114DAE1577C6DBF 4C91A3CDA2F169B340989C 1D32C290465E5C1423CCh Bit sequences are listed MSB first. Other transmit parameters: As implemented
49	6.3.1.2.11	Multiple-Interrogator Transmit Mask: For an Interrogator transmitting random data in channel R , and any other channel $S \neq R$, the ratio of the integrated power $P()$ in channel S to that in channel R shall not exceed the specified values:	Interro- gator	Tested in compliance with 6.3.1.2.11, Figure 6.6
50	6.3.1.2.11	Each channel that exceeds the mask shall be counted as an exception.		Tested in compliance with 6.3.1.2.11, Figure 6.6

Item	Protocol Subclause	Requirement	Applies To	How Verified
51	6.3.1.2.11	Interrogators certified for operation in dense-Interrogator environments shall meet both local regulations and the Transmit Mask shown in Figure 6.6 of this specification, except when operating in the dense-Interrogator mode described in <u>Annex G</u> , in which case they shall instead meet the Dense-Interrogator Transmit Mask described below and shown in Figure 6.7.	Interro- gator	 By demonstration, for dense- Interrogator certification. Test conditions: Temp: 23 +/- 3 °C Freq: At channel frequency closest to center of supported band. Power: Maximum Interrogator transmit power, as imple- mented. Reference bandwidth: 2.5/Tari Modulation: As implemented Transmit data: Either (a) or (b) below a) a continuous repeating 9-bit maximum length sequence with polynomial x⁹ + x⁴ + 1, ini- tially seeded with all ones, re- sulting in a repeating 511-bit sequence of FF83DF1732094ED1E7CD8A 91C6D5C4C44021184E5586F 4DC8A15A7EC92DF9353301 8CA34BFA2C759678FBA0D6 DB82D7D540A57977039D27 AEA243385ED9A1DE0_h, or b) a single <i>Select</i> command with a 252 bit <u>Mask</u> value set to ACBCD2114DAE1577C6DBF 4C91A3CDA2F169B340989C 1D32C290465E5C1423CC_h Bit sequences are listed MSB first. Tari: 25 µs Backscatter data rate: One or more of the dense-interrogator data rates specified in Annex G of the Protocol specification, as implemented. Other transmit parameters: As implemented.
52	6.3.1.2.11	Regardless of the mask used, Interrogators certified for operation in dense-Interrogator environments shall not be permitted the two exceptions to the transmit mask that are allowed for Interrogators certified for operation in multiple-Interrogator environments.	Interro- gator	Tested in compliance with 6.3.1.2.11, Figure 6.7
53	6.3.1.2.11	For Interrogator transmissions centered at a frequency f_c , a 2.5/Tari bandwidth R_{BW} also centered at f_c , an offset frequency $f_o = 2.5/Tari$, and a 2.5/Tari bandwidth S_{BW} centered at $(n \times f_o) + f_c$ (integer <i>n</i>), the ratio of the integrated power <i>P</i> () in S_{BW} to that in R_{BW} with the Interrogator transmitting random data shall not exceed the specified values:	Interro- gator	Tested in compliance with 6.3.1.2.11, Figure 6.7
54	6.3.1.3	A Tag shall backscatter using a fixed modulation format, data encoding, and data rate for the duration of an inven- tory round, where "inventory round" is defined in 6.3.2.8.	Тад	By design
55	6.3.1.3.1	Tag backscatter shall use ASK and/or PSK modulation.	Tag	By design
56	6.3.1.3.1	Interrogators shall demodulate both modulation types.	Interro- gator	By design
57	6.3.1.3.2	Tags shall encode the backscattered data as either FM0 baseband or Miller modulation of a subcarrier at the data rate.	Tag	Tested in compliance with 6.3.1.3.2.1 and 6.3.1.3.2.3

Item	Protocol Subclause	Requirement	Applies To	How Verified
58	6.3.1.3.2.1	The duty cycle of a 00 or 11 sequence, measured at the modulator output, shall be a minimum of 45% and a max- imum of 55%, with a nominal value of 50%.	Tag	By demonstration Test conditions: Temp: 23 +/- 3 °C Freq: 860 & 960 MHz Power: 0 dBm at Tag antenna Modulation: DSB-ASK PW: 0.5 Tari Modulation depth: 90% Rise/fall time: ≤ 0.33 Tari TRext: 0 Test # 1 Tari: 6.25 µs RTcal: 18.75 µs TRcal: 33.3 & 50 µs DR: 64/3 M: 1 Test # 2 Tari: 12.5 µs RTcal: 31.25 µs RTcal: 31.25 µs TRcal: 31.25 µs TRcal: 66.7, 83.3 µs DR: 64/3 M: 1
59	6.3.1.3.2.1	FM0 signaling shall always end with a "dummy" data-1 bit at the end of a transmission, as shown in Figure 6.10.	Tag	By design
60	6.3.1.3.2.2	T=>R FM0 signaling shall begin with one of the two preambles shown in Figure 6.11.	Tag	By demonstration Test conditions: Temp: 23 +/- 3 °C Freq: 860 & 960 MHz Power: 0 dBm at Tag antenna Modulation: DSB-ASK PW: 0.5 Tari Modulation depth: 90% Rise/fall time: ≤ 0.33 Tari Tari: 25 µs RTcal: 75 µs TRcal: 100 µs DR: 8 M: 1 TRext: 0 & 1
61	6.3.1.3.2.2	The choice depends on the value of the TRext bit speci- fied in the <i>Query</i> command that initiated the inventory round, unless a Tag is replying to a command that writes to memory, in which case a Tag shall use the extended preamble regardless of TRext (i.e. the Tag replies as if TRext=1 regardless of the TRext value specified in the <i>Query</i> —see 6.3.2.10.3).	Tag	By demonstration Tested in compliance with 6.3.2.4, Figure 6.19
62	6.3.1.3.2.3	Figure 6.13 shows Miller-modulated subcarrier se- quences; the Miller sequence shall contain exactly two, four, or eight subcarrier cycles per bit, depending on the M value specified in the <i>Query</i> command that initiated the inventory round (see Table 6.10).	Tag	By design

Item	Protocol Subclause	Requirement	Applies To	How Verified
63	6.3.1.3.2.3	The duty cycle of a 0 or 1 symbol, measured at the mod- ulator output, shall be a minimum of 45% and a maxi- mum of 55%, with a nominal value of 50%.	Tag	By demonstration Test conditions: Temp: 23 +/- 3 °C Freq: 860 & 960 MHz Power: 0 dBm at Tag antenna Modulation: DSB-ASK PW: 0.5 Tari Modulation depth: 90% Rise/fall time: ≤ 0.33 Tari TRext: 0 Test # 1 Tari: 6.25 µs RTcal: 18.75 µs TRcal: 33.3 & 50 µs DR: 64/3 M: 2, 4, 8 Test # 2 Tari: 12.5 µs RTcal: 31.25 µs RTcal: 31.25 µs RTcal: 31.25 µs RTcal: 66.7, 83.3 µs DR: 64/3 M: 2, 4, 8
64	6.3.1.3.2.3	Miller signaling shall always end with a "dummy" data-1 bit at the end of a transmission, as shown in Figure 6.14.	Tag	By design
65	6.3.1.3.2.4	T=>R subcarrier signaling shall begin with one of the two preambles shown in Figure 6.15.	Tag	By demonstration Test conditions: Temp: 23 +/- 3 °C Freq: 860 & 960 MHz Power: 0 dBm at Tag antenna Modulation: DSB-ASK PW: 0.5 Tari Modulation depth: 90% Rise/fall time: ≤ 0.33 Tari Tari: 25 µs RTcal: 75 µs TRcal: 100 µs DR: 8 M: 2, 4, 8 TRext: 0 & 1
66	6.3.1.3.2.4	The choice depends on the value of the TRext bit speci- fied in the <i>Query</i> command that initiated the inventory round, unless a Tag is replying to a command that writes to memory, in which case a Tag shall use the extended preamble regardless of TRext (i.e. the Tag replies as if TRext=1 regardless of the TRext value specified in the <i>Query</i> —see 6.3.2.10.3).	Tag	By demonstration Tested in compliance with 6.3.2.4, Figure 6.19

ltem	Protocol Subclause	Requirement	Applies To	How Verified
67	6.3.1.3.3	Tags shall support all R=>T Tari values in the range of 6.25µs to 25µs, over all parameters allowed by 6.3.1.2.3. Tags shall support the T=>R link frequencies and toler- ances specified in Table 6.9 and the T=>R data rates specified in Table 6.10.	Tag	The FT requirements in Table 6.9 of the Protocol shall be verified by design . Tag manufacturers shall provide plots of worst-case FT error versus TRcal. Tag manufac- turers shall also provide measured data used to generate the FT plots, including: 1. Tag oscillator frequency toler- ance 2. Tag oscillator frequency drift 3. TRcal measurement error budg- et 4. Other contributors to FT error The frequency-variation during backscatter requirements in Table 6.9 of the Protocol shall be verified by demonstration . The testing laboratory shall measure the mini- mum, median, and maximum sym- bol length (M=1) or subcarrier pe- riod (M=2, 4, 8) during backscatter of a 128-bit sequence (16-bit PC, 96-bit EPC, and a CRC-16). The minimum and maximum values shall not deviate by more than 2.5% from the median. The test conditions are: Temp: 23 +/– 3 °C Freq: 860 & 960 MHz Power: 0 dBm at Tag antenna Modulation: DSB-ASK PW: 0.5 Tari Modulation depth: 90% Rise/fall time: ≤ 0.33 Tari TRext: 0 <u>Test # 1</u> Tari: 6.25 µs RTcal: 18.75 µs TRcal: 33.3 & 50 µs DR: 64/3 M: 1, 2, 4, 8 <u>Test # 2</u> Tari: 25 µs RTcal: 75 µs TRcal: 200 µs DR: 8 M: 1, 2, 4, 8
68	6.3.1.3.4	Tags energized by an Interrogator shall be capable of receiving and acting on Interrogator commands within a period not exceeding the maximum settling-time interval specified in Table 6.6 or Table 6.8, as appropriate (i.e. within T_s or T_{hs} , respectively).	Tag	By design
69	6.3.1.3.5	For a Tag certified to this protocol, the Tag manufacturer shall specify: free-space, interference-free sensitivity, minimum relative backscattered modulated power (ASK modulation) or change in radar cross-section or equiva- lent (phase modulation), and the manufacturer's normal operating conditions for the Tag mounted on one or more manufacturer-selected materials.	Tag	By design

ltem	Protocol Subclause	Requirement	Applies To	How Verified
70	6.3.1.4	The transmission order for all R=>T and T=>R communi- cations shall be most-significant bit (MSB) first.	Tag and Interro- gator	By design
71	6.3.1.4	Within each message, the most-significant word shall be transmitted first.	Tag and Interro- gator	By design
72	6.3.1.4	Within each word, the MSB shall be transmitted first.	Tag and Interro- gator	By design
73	6.3.1.5	To generate a CRC-16 a Tag or Interrogator shall first generate the CRC-16 precursor shown in Table 6.11, and then take the ones-complement of the generated precursor to form the CRC-16.	Tag and Interro- gator	By design
74	6.3.1.5	A Tag or Interrogator shall verify the integrity of a re- ceived message that uses a CRC-16.	Tag and Interro- gator	By design
75	6.3.1.5	Tags shall append a CRC-16 to those replies that use a CRC-16 — see 6.3.2.10 for command-specific reply formats.	Tag	By design
76	6.3.1.5	To generate a CRC-5 an Interrogator shall use the defini- tion in Table 6.12.	Interro- gator	By design
77	6.3.1.5	A Tag shall verify the integrity of a received message that uses a CRC-5.	Tag	By design
78	6.3.1.5	Interrogators shall append the appropriate CRC to R=>T transmissions as specified in Table 6.16.	Interro- gator	By design

Item	Protocol Subclause	Requirement	Applies To	How Verified
79	6.3.1.6	Tags and Interrogators shall meet all timing requirements shown in Table 6.13.	Tag and Interro- gator	By demonstration Interrogator test conditions: Verify Interrogator meets T ₂ , T ₃ , & T ₄ Temp: 23 +/- 3 °C Freq: At channel frequency closest to center of supported band. Power: Maximum Interrogator transmit power, as imple- mented. Other transmit parameters: As implemented Tag test conditions: Verify Tag meets T ₁ over T ₂ ex- tremes Temp: 23 +/- 3 °C Freq: 860 & 960 MHz Power: 0 dBm at Tag antenna Modulation: DSB-ASK PW: 0.5 Tari Modulation depth: 90% Rise/fall time: ≤ 0.33 Tari TRext: 0 Minimum T ₂ condition: Tari: 6.25 µs RTcal: 18.75 µs TRcal: 33.3 & 50 µs DR: 64/3 M: 1 Maximum T ₂ condition: Tari: 25 µs RTcal: 75 µs TRcal: 200 µs DR: 8 M: 2, 4, 8
80	6.3.1.6	As described in 6.3.1.2.8, an Interrogator shall use a fixed R=>T link rate for the duration of an inventory round.	Interro- gator	By design
81	6.3.1.6	Prior to changing the R=>T link rate, an Interrogator shall transmit CW for a minimum of 8 RTcal.	Interro- gator	By design
82	6.3.1.6	The maximum value for T_2 shall apply only to Tags in the reply or acknowledged states (see 6.3.2.4.3 and 6.3.2.4.4).	Tag	By design
83	6.3.1.6 Table 6.13	For a Tag in the reply or acknowledged states, if T_2 expires (i.e. reaches its maximum value) without the Tag receiving a valid command, the Tag shall transition to the arbitrate state (see 6.3.2.4.2).	Tag	By design
84	6.3.1.6 Table 6.13	For a Tag in the reply or acknowledged states, if T_2 expires (i.e. reaches its maximum value) during the reception of a valid command, the Tag shall execute the command.	Tag	By design
85	6.3.1.6 Table 6.13	For a Tag in the reply or acknowledged states, if T_2 expires (i.e. reaches its maximum value) during the reception of an invalid command, the Tag shall transition to arbitrate upon determining that the command is invalid.	Tag	By design
86	6.3.1.6 Table 6.13	In all other states the maximum value for T_2 shall be unrestricted.	Tag	By design

Item	Protocol Subclause	Requirement	Applies To	How Verified
87	6.3.1.6	T_1+T_3 shall not be less than T_4	Tag	By design
88	6.3.2.1	Tag memory shall be logically separated into four distinct banks, each of which may comprise zero or more mem- ory words.	Tag	By design
89	6.3.2.1	Reserved memory shall contain the kill and and/or ac- cess passwords, if passwords are implemented on the Tag.	Tag	By design
90	6.3.2.1	The kill password shall be stored at memory addresses 00_h to $1F_h$.	Tag	By design
91	6.3.2.1	The access password shall be stored at memory addresses 20_h to $3F_h$.	Tag	By design
92	6.3.2.1	EPC memory shall contain a CRC-16 at memory ad- dresses 00_h to $0F_h$, Protocol-Control (PC) bits at memory addresses 10_h to $1F_h$, and a code (such as an EPC, and hereafter referred to as an EPC) that identifies the object to which the Tag is or will be attached beginning at ad- dress 20_h .	Tag	By design
93	6.3.2.1	TID memory shall contain an 8-bit ISO/IEC 15963 alloca- tion class identifier at memory locations 00_h to 07_h . TID memory shall contain sufficient identifying information above 07_h for an Interrogator to uniquely identify the cus- tom commands and/or optional features that a Tag sup- ports.	Tag	By demonstration Singulate the Tag, read its TID memory, and verify the contents. Tag test conditions: Temp: 23 +/- 3 °C Freq: 860 & 960 MHz Power: 0 dBm at Tag antenna Modulation: DSB-ASK PW: 0.5 Tari Modulation depth: 90% Rise/fall time: ≤ 0.33 Tari Tari: 25 µs RTcal: 75 µs TRcal: 100 µs DR: 8 M: 1 TRext: 0
94	6.3.2.1	The logical addressing of all memory banks shall begin at zero (00_h) .	Tag	By design
95	6.3.2.1	When Tags backscatter memory contents, this backscatter shall fall on word boundaries (except in the case of a truncated reply – see 6.3.2.10.1.1).	Тад	By design
96	6.3.2.1	Operations in one logical memory bank shall not access memory locations in another bank.	Tag	By design
97	6.3.2.1	A <i>Write</i> , <i>BlockWrite</i> , or <i>BlockErase</i> shall not alter a Tag's killed status regardless of the memory address (whether valid or invalid) specified in the command.	Тад	By design
98	6.3.2.1.1	If a Tag does not implement the kill and/or access pass- word(s), the Tag shall logically operate as though it has zero-valued password(s) that are permanently read/write locked (see 6.3.2.10.3.5), and the corresponding physical memory locations in Reserved memory need not exist.	Tag	By design
99	6.3.2.1.1.1	The default (unprogrammed) value shall be zero.	Tag	By design
100	6.3.2.1.1.1	An Interrogator shall use a kill password once, to kill the Tag and render it nonresponsive thereafter.	Interro- gator	By design

Item	Protocol Subclause	Requirement	Applies To	How Verified
				By demonstration Issue a <i>Kill</i> command to a Tag with a zero-valued kill password. Verify that the Tag backscatters an error code and does not execute the kill.
101	6.3.2.1.1	A Tag shall not execute a kill operation if its kill password is zero.	Tag	Tag test conditions: Temp: 23 +/- 3 °C Freq: 860 & 960 MHz Power: 0 dBm at Tag antenna Modulation: DSB-ASK PW: 0.5 Tari Modulation depth: 90% Rise/fall time: \leq 0.33 Tari Tari: 25 µs RTcal: 75 µs TRcal: 100 µs DR: 8 M: 1 TRext: 0
102	6.3.2.1.1.2	The default (unprogrammed) value shall be zero.	Tag	By design
103	6.3.2.1.1.2	A Tag with a nonzero access password shall require an Interrogator to issue this password before transitioning to the secured state.	Тад	By design
104	6.3.2.1.2	The CRC-16, PC, and EPC shall be stored MSB first (the EPC's MSB is stored in location 20_h).	Tag	By design
105	6.3.2.1.2.1	At power-up a Tag shall compute this CRC-16 over EPC memory location 10_h to the end of the EPC (not necessarily to the end of EPC memory, but to the end of the EPC specified by the length field in the PC — see 6.3.2.1.2.2) and map the computed CRC-16 into EPC memory 00_h to $0F_h$, MSB first.	Tag	By demonstration Test for rewriteable Tags: Sequentially write a Tag's EPC, one 16-bit word at a time. Follow- ing each write, update the length field specified in the PC bits, power down the Tag, then power it up again and singulate it. Verify that the backscattered CRC-16 matches the backscattered EPC after each write operation. <u>Test for prewritten Tags:</u> Power up the Tag and singulate it. Verify that the backscattered CRC- 16 matches the backscattered CRC- 16 matches the backscattered EPC. <u>Tag test conditions for either case:</u> Temp: 23 +/- 3 °C Freq: 860 & 960 MHz Power: 0 dBm at Tag antenna Modulation: DSB-ASK PW: 0.5 Tari Modulation depth: 90% Rise/fall time: ≤ 0.33 Tari Tari: 25 µs RTcal: 75 µs TRcal: 100 µs DR: 8 M: 1 TRext: 0
106	6.3.2.1.2.1	Because the {PC+EPC} is stored in EPC memory on word boundaries, this CRC-16 shall be computed on word boundaries.	Тад	By design

Item	Protocol Subclause	Requirement	Applies To	How Verified
107	6.3.2.1.2.1	Tags shall finish this CRC-16 computation and memory mapping by the end of interval T_s or T_{hs} (as appropriate) in Figure 6.3 or Figure 6.5, respectively.	Tag	By design
108	6.3.2.1.2.1	Tags shall not recalculate this CRC-16 for a truncated reply (see 6.3.2.10.1.1).	Tag	By design
109	6.3.2.1.2.2	Bits 15 _h – 16 _h : RFU (shall be set to 00 ₂ for Class-1 Tags).	Tag	By demonstration Tag test conditions: Temp: 23 +/- 3 °C Freq: 860 & 960 MHz Power: 0 dBm at Tag antenna Modulation: DSB-ASK PW: 0.5 Tari Modulation depth: 90% Rise/fall time: ≤ 0.33 Tari Tari: 25 µs RTcal: 75 µs TRcal: 100 µs DR: 8 M: 1 TRext: 0
110	6.3.2.1.2.2	If bit 17 _h contains a logical 0, then the application is re- ferred to as an EPCglobal TM Application and PC bits 18 _h – 1F _h shall be as defined in the EPC TM Tag Data Stan- dards. If bit 17h contains a logical 1, then a application is referred to as a non-EPCglobal TM Application and PC bits 18h – 1Fh shall contain the entire AFI defined in ISO/IEC 15961.	Tag	By demonstration Tag test conditions: Temp: 23 +/- 3 °C Freq: 860 & 960 MHz Power: 0 dBm at Tag antenna Modulation: DSB-ASK PW: 0.5 Tari Modulation depth: 90% Rise/fall time: ≤ 0.33 Tari Tari: 25 µs RTcal: 75 µs TRcal: 100 µs DR: 8 M: 1 TRext: 0
111	6.3.2.1.2.2	The default (unprogrammed) PC value shall be 0000 _h .	Tag	By demonstration Tag test (unwritten Tags only): Power up the Tag and singulate it. Verify that the backscattered PC bits are 0000_h . Tag test conditions: Temp: 23 +/- 3 °C Freq: 860 & 960 MHz Power: 0 dBm at Tag antenna Modulation: DSB-ASK PW: 0.5 Tari Modulation depth: 90% Rise/fall time: ≤ 0.33 Tari Tari: 25 µs RTcal: 75 µs TRcal: 100 µs DR: 8 M: 1 TRext: 0
112	6.3.2.1.2.2	A Tag shall backscatter an error code (see <u>Annex I</u>) if an Interrogator attempts to write a (PC + EPC) length that is not supported by the Tag to the first 5 bits of the Tag's PC.	Tag	By design

Item	Protocol Subclause	Requirement	Applies To	How Verified
113	6.3.2.1.2.2	At power-up a Tag shall compute its CRC-16 over the number of (PC + EPC) words designated by the first 5 bits of the PC rather than over the length of the entire EPC memory (see 6.3.2.1.2.1).	Tag	Tested in compliance with 6.3.2.1.3
114	6.3.2.1.2.3	The EPC structure for an EPCglobal™ Application shall be as defined in the EPC™ Tag Data Standards.	Tag	By design
115	6.3.2.1.2.4	The EPC structure for a non-EPCglobal™ Application shall be as defined in ISO/IEC 15961.	Tag	By design
116	6.3.2.1.3	TID memory locations 00_h to 07_h shall contain one of two ISO/IEC 15963 class-identifier values — either E0 _h or E2 _h .	Tag	By design
117	6.3.2.1.3	TID memory locations above 07_h shall be defined ac- cording to the registration authority defined by this class- identifier value and shall contain, at a minimum, sufficient identifying information for an Interrogator to uniquely identify the custom commands and/or optional features that a Tag supports.	Tag	By design
118	6.3.2.1.4.1	If User memory is included on a Tag then its encoding shall be as defined in the EPC [™] Tag Data Standards (version 1.3 and above).	Tag	By design
119	6.3.2.1.4.2	If User memory is included on a Tag then User memory locations 00_h to 07_h shall be the DSFID defined in ISO/IEC 15961. The encoding of User memory locations above 07_h shall be as defined in ISO/IEC 15962.	Tag	By design
120	6.3.2.2	Interrogators shall support and Tags shall provide 4 sessions (denoted S0, S1, S2, and S3).	Tag and Interro- gator	By design
121	6.3.2.2	Tags shall participate in one and only one session during an inventory round.	Tag	By design
122	6.3.2.2	Tags shall maintain an independent inventoried flag for each session.	Tag	By design
123	6.3.2.2	Tags participating in an inventory round in one session shall neither use nor modify the inventoried flag for a different session.	Tag	By design
124	6.3.2.2	A Tag's inventoried flags shall have the persistence times shown in Table 6.14.	Tag	By design
125	6.3.2.2	A Tag shall power-up with its inventoried flags set as follows: the S0 inventoried flag shall be set to <i>A</i> .	Tag	By design Tested in compliance with 6.3.2.3, Table 6.14
126	6.3.2.2	A Tag shall power-up with its inventoried flags set as follows: the S1 inventoried flag shall be set to either <i>A</i> or <i>B</i> , depending on its stored value, unless the flag was set longer in the past than its persistence time, in which case the Tag shall power-up with its S1 inventoried flag set to <i>A</i> .	Tag	By design
127	6.3.2.2	A Tag shall power-up with its inventoried flags set as follows: the S2 inventoried flag shall be set to either <i>A</i> or <i>B</i> , depending on its stored value, unless the Tag has lost power for a time greater than its persistence time, in which case the Tag shall power-up with the S2 invento- ried flag set to <i>A</i> .	Tag	By design

Item	Protocol Subclause	Requirement	Applies To	How Verified
128	6.3.2.2	A Tag shall power-up with its inventoried flags set as follows: the S3 inventoried flag shall be set to either <i>A</i> or <i>B</i> , depending on its stored value, unless the Tag has lost power for a time greater than its persistence time, in which case the Tag shall power-up with its S3 invento- ried flag set to <i>A</i> .	Tag	By design
129	6.3.2.2	A Tag shall set any of its inventoried flags to either <i>A</i> or <i>B</i> in 2 ms or less, regardless of the initial flag value.	Tag	By design
130	6.3.2.2	Tag shall refresh its S2 and S3 flags while powered, meaning that every time a Tag loses power its S2 and S3 inventoried flags shall have the persistence times shown in Table 6.14.	Tag	By design
131	6.3.2.2	The value of the S1 inventoried flag shall not change as a result of a persistence timeout while a Tag is participating in an inventory round.	Tag	By design
132	6.3.2.2	If the S1 persistence time expires during an inventory round then the Tag shall change its S1 flag to A at the end of the round.	Tag	By design
133	6.3.2.3	Tags shall implement a selected flag, SL , which an Inter- rogator may assert or deassert using a <i>Select</i> command.	Tag	By design
134	6.3.2.3	A Tag's SL flag shall have the persistence times shown in Table 6.14.	Tag	By design Tested in compliance with 6.3.2.3, Table 6.14
135	6.3.2.3	A Tag shall power-up with its SL flag either asserted or deasserted, depending on the stored value, unless the Tag has lost power for a time greater than the SL persis- tence time, in which case the Tag shall power-up with its SL flag deasserted (set to ~ SL).	Tag	By design
136	6.3.2.3	A Tag shall be capable of asserting or deasserting its SL flag in 2 ms or less, regardless of the initial flag value.	Tag	By design
137	6.3.2.3	A Tag shall refresh its SL flag when powered, meaning that every time a Tag loses power its SL flag shall have the persistence times shown in Table 6.14.	Tag	By design
138	6.3.2.3, Table 6.14	For a randomly chosen and sufficiently large Tag popula- tion, 95% of the Tag persistence times shall meet the persistence requirement, with a 90% confidence interval.	Tag	By design Tag manufacturers shall provide data and analysis demonstrating that Tags meet the persistence requirements of Table 6.14.

Item	Protocol Subclause	Requirement	Applies To	How Verified
				By demonstration
				Tag test: Tag manufacturers shall supply a population of Tags for testing. The testing laboratory shall exercise all of the states and state transitions shown in Figure 6.19 by selecting, singulating, inventorying, reading, writing, accessing, and (for Tags that implement kill) killing the Tags.
139	6.3.2.4	Tags shall implement the states and the slot counter shown in Figure 6.19.	Tag	Tag test conditions: Temp: 23 +/- 3 °CFreq: 860 & 960 MHzPower: 0 dBm at Tag antenna Modulation: DSB-ASKPW: 0.5 Tari Modulation depth: 90% Rise/fall time: ≤ 0.33 Tari Tari: 25 µsRTcal: 75 µsRTcal: 100 µsDR: 8 M: 1 TRext: 0
140	6.3.2.4.1	Tags shall implement a ready state.	Tag	Tested in compliance with 6.3.2.4, Figure 6.19
141	6.3.2.4.1	Upon entering an energizing RF field a Tag that is not killed shall enter ready .	Tag	By design
142	6.3.2.4.1	The Tag shall remain in ready until it receives a <i>Query</i> command (see 6.3.2.10.2.1) whose <u>inventoried</u> parameter (for the <u>session</u> specified in the <i>Query</i>) and <u>sel</u> parameter match its current flag values.	Tag	By design
143	6.3.2.4.1	Matching Tags shall draw a Q-bit number from their RNG (see 6.3.2.5), load this number into their slot counter, and transition to the arbitrate state if the number is nonzero, or to the reply state if the number is zero.	Tag	By design
144	6.3.2.4.1	If a Tag in any state except killed loses power it shall return to ready upon regaining power.	Tag	By design
145	6.3.2.4.2	Tags shall implement an arbitrate state.	Tag	Tested in compliance with 6.3.2.4, Figure 6.19
146	6.3.2.4.2	A Tag in arbitrate shall decrement its slot counter every time it receives a <i>QueryRep</i> command (see 6.3.2.10.2.3) whose <u>session</u> parameter matches the session for the inventory round currently in progress, and it shall transition to the reply state and backscatter an RN16 when its slot counter reaches 0000_{h} .	Tag	By design
147	6.3.2.4.2	Tags that return to arbitrate (for example, from the reply state) with a slot value of 0000_h shall decrement their slot counter from 0000_h to 7FFF _h at the next <i>QueryRep</i> (with matching <u>session</u>) and, because their slot value is now nonzero, shall remain in arbitrate .	Tag	By design
148	6.3.2.4.3	Tags shall implement a reply state.	Tag	Tested in compliance with 6.3.2.4, Figure 6.19
149	6.3.2.4.3	Upon entering reply a Tag shall backscatter an RN16.	Tag	By design

Item	Protocol Subclause	Requirement	Applies To	How Verified
150	6.3.2.4.3	If the Tag receives a valid acknowledgement (<i>ACK</i>) it shall transition to the acknowledged state, backscattering its PC, EPC and CRC-16.	Tag	By design
151	6.3.2.4.3	If the Tag fails to receive an ACK within time $T_{2(max)}$, or receives an invalid ACK or an ACK with an erroneous RN16, it shall return to arbitrate .	Tag	By design
152	6.3.2.4.3	In the reply state, Tag and Interrogator shall meet all tim- ing requirements specified in Table 6.13.	Tag	Tested in compliance with 6.3.1.6, Table 6.13
153	6.3.2.4.4	Tags shall implement an acknowledged state.	Tag	Tested in compliance with 6.3.2.4, Figure 6.19
154	6.3.2.4.4	If a Tag in the acknowledged state receives a valid <i>ACK</i> containing the correct RN16 it shall re-backscatter its PC, EPC, and CRC-16.	Tag	By design
155	6.3.2.4.4	If a Tag in the acknowledged state fails to receive a valid command within time $T_{2(max)}$ it shall return to arbitrate .	Tag	By design
156	6.3.2.4.4	In the open state, Tag and Interrogator shall meet all timing requirements specified in Table 6.13.	Tag	Tested in compliance with 6.3.1.6, Table 6.13
157	6.3.2.4.5	Tags shall implement an open state.	Tag	Tested in compliance with 6.3.2.4, Figure 6.19
158	6.3.2.4.5	A Tag in the acknowledged state whose access pass- word is nonzero shall transition to open upon receiving a <i>Req_RN</i> command, backscattering a new RN16 (de- noted <u>handle</u>) that the Interrogator shall use in subse- quent commands and the Tag shall use in subsequent replies.	Tag	By design
159	6.3.2.4.5	If a Tag in the open state receives a valid <i>ACK</i> contain- ing the correct <u>handle</u> it shall re-backscatter its PC, EPC, and CRC-16.	Tag	By design
160	6.3.2.4.5	In the open state, Tag and Interrogator shall meet all timing requirements specified in Table 6.13 except $T_{2(max)}$; in the open state the maximum delay between Tag response and Interrogator transmission is unrestricted.	Tag	Tested in compliance with 6.3.1.6, Table 6.13
161	6.3.2.4.6	Tags shall implement a secured state.	Tag	Tested in compliance with 6.3.2.4, Figure 6.19
162	6.3.2.4.6	A Tag in the acknowledged state whose access pass- word is zero shall transition to secured upon receiving a <i>Req_RN</i> command, backscattering a new RN16 (de- noted <u>handle</u>) that the Interrogator shall use in subse- quent commands and the Tag shall use in subsequent replies.	Tag and Interro- gator	By design
163	6.3.2.4.6	A Tag in the open state whose access password is non- zero shall transition to secured upon receiving a valid <i>Access</i> command sequence, maintaining the same <u>hand-le</u> that it previously backscattered when it transitioned from the acknowledged to the open state.	Tag	By design
164	6.3.2.4.6	If a Tag in the secured state receives a valid <i>ACK</i> con- taining the correct <u>handle</u> it shall re-backscatter its PC, EPC, and CRC-16.	Tag	By design
165	6.3.2.4.6	In the secured state, Tag and Interrogator shall meet all timing requirements specified in Table 6.13 except $T_{2(max)}$; in the secured state the maximum delay between Tag response and Interrogator transmission is unrestricted.	Tag	Tested in compliance with 6.3.1.6, Table 6.13

Item	Protocol Subclause	Requirement	Applies To	How Verified
166	6.3.2.4.7	Tags shall implement a killed state.	Tag	Tested in compliance with 6.3.2.4, Figure 6.19
167	6.3.2.4.7	A Tag in either the open or secured states shall enter the killed state upon receiving a <i>Kill</i> command sequence (see 6.3.2.10.3.4) with a valid nonzero kill password and valid <u>handle</u> .	Tag	By design
168	6.3.2.4.7	Upon entering the killed state a Tag shall notify the Inter- rogator that the kill operation was successful, and shall not respond to an Interrogator thereafter.	Тад	By design Also tested in compliance with 6.3.2.4, Figure 6.19
169	6.3.2.4.7	Killed Tags shall remain in the killed state under all cir- cumstances, and shall immediately enter killed upon subsequent power-ups.	Тад	By design Also tested in compliance with 6.3.2.4, Figure 6.19
170	6.3.2.4.8	Tags shall implement a 15-bit slot counter.	Tag	By design
171	6.3.2.4.8	Upon receiving a <i>Query</i> or <i>QueryAdjust</i> command a Tag shall preload into its slot counter a value between 0 and $2^{\circ}-1$, drawn from the Tag's RNG.	Тад	By design
172	6.3.2.4.8	Tags in the arbitrate state shall decrement their slot counter every time they receive a <i>QueryRep</i> , transition- ing to the reply state and backscattering an RN16 when their slot counter reaches 0000_{h} .	Tag	By design
173	6.3.2.4.8	Tags whose slot counter reached 0000_h , who replied, and who were not acknowledged (including Tags that responded to an original <i>Query</i> and were not acknowl- edged) shall return to arbitrate with a slot value of 0000_h and shall decrement this slot value from 0000_h to 7FFF _h at the next <i>QueryRep</i> .	Tag	By demonstration Test in compliance with 6.3.2.4, Figure 6.19
174	6.3.2.4.8	The slot counter shall be capable of continuous counting, meaning that, after the slot counter rolls over to $7FFF_h$ it begins counting down again, thereby effectively preventing subsequent replies until the Tag loads a new random value into its slot counter.	Tag	By demonstration Test in compliance with 6.3.2.4, Figure 6.19
175	6.3.2.5	Tags shall implement a random or pseudo-random num- ber generator (RNG).	Tag	By design
176	6.3.2.5	The RNG shall meet the following randomness criteria independent of the strength of the energizing field, the R=>T link rate, and the data stored in the Tag (including the PC, EPC, and CRC-16).	Tag	By design
177	6.3.2.5	Tags shall generate 16-bit random or pseudo-random numbers (RN16) using the RNG, and shall have the abili- ty to extract Q-bit subsets from an RN16 to preload the Tag's slot counter (see 6.3.2.4.8).	Tag	By design
178	6.3.2.5	Tags shall have the ability to temporarily store at least two RN16s while powered, to use, for example, as a <u>handle</u> and a 16-bit cover-code during password transac- tions (see Figure 6.23 or Figure 6.25).	Тад	By design
179	6.3.2.5	The probability that any RN16 drawn from the RNG has value RN16 = j , for any j , shall be bounded by $0.8/2^{16} < P(RN16 = j) < 1.25/2^{16}$.	Тад	By design Tag manufacturers shall provide data and analysis demonstrating that Tags meet the requirements of 6.3.2.5.
180	6.3.2.5	For a Tag population of up to 10,000 Tags, the probability that any two or more Tags simultaneously generate the same sequence of RN16s shall be less than 0.1%, regardless of when the Tags are energized.	Tag	By design Tag manufacturers shall provide data and analysis demonstrating that Tags meet the requirements of 6.3.2.5.

Item	Protocol Subclause	Requirement	Applies To	How Verified
181	6.3.2.5	An RN16 drawn from a Tag's RNG 10ms after the end of T_r in Figure 6.3 shall not be predictable with a probability greater than 0.025% if the outcomes of prior draws from the RNG, performed under identical conditions, are known.	Tag	By design Tag manufacturers shall provide data and analysis demonstrating that Tags meet the requirements of 6.3.2.5.
182	6.3.2.7	A Select that modifies SL shall not modify inventoried , and vice versa.	Tag	By design
183	6.3.2.9	If the Interrogator issues a command with new data or half-password, then it shall first issue a <i>Req_RN</i> to obtain a new RN16 and shall use this RN16 for the covercoding.	Interro- gator	By design
184	6.3.2.10	Interrogator-to-Tag commands shall have the format shown in Table 6.16.	Interro- gator	By design
185	6.3.2.10	<i>QueryRep, ACK, Query, QueryAdjust,</i> and <i>NAK</i> have the unique command lengths shown in Table 6.16; no other commands shall have these lengths.	Interro- gator	By design
186	6.3.2.10	If a Tag receives one of these commands with an incor- rect length it shall ignore the command.	Tag	By design
187	6.3.2.10	Tags shall ignore invalid commands.	Tag	By design
188	6.3.2.10.1.1	Interrogators and Tags shall implement the Select com- mand shown in Table 6.17.	Tag and Interro- gator	By design Also tested in compliance with 6.3.2.4, Figure 6.19
189	6.3.2.10.1.1	<u>Target</u> shall indicate whether the <i>Select</i> modifies a Tag's SL or inventoried flag, and in the case of the invento- ried flag, for which session.	Tag	By design
190	6.3.2.10.1.1	Action shall elicit the Tag response shown in Table 6.18.	Tag	By design
191	6.3.2.10.1.1	<u>Truncate</u> indicates whether a Tag's backscattered reply shall be truncated to include only those EPC and CRC-16 bits following <u>Mask</u> .	Tag	By design
192	6.3.2.10.1.1	Class-1 Tags shall ignore <i>Select</i> commands whose <u>Tar-</u> <u>get</u> is 101 ₂ , 110 ₂ , or 111 ₂ .	Tag	By design
193	6.3.2.10.1.1	Select commands shall apply to a single memory bank.	Tag	By design
194	6.3.2.10.1.1	<u>MemBank</u> shall not specify Reserved memory; if a Tag receives a <i>Select</i> specifying <u>MemBank</u> = 00_2 it shall ignore the <i>Select</i> .	Tag	By design
195	6.3.2.10.1.1	If <u>Pointer</u> and <u>Length</u> reference a memory location that does not exist on the Tag then the Tag shall consider the <i>Select</i> to be non-matching.	Tag	By design
196	6.3.2.10.1.1	If <u>Length</u> is zero then all Tags shall be considered match- ing, unless <u>Pointer</u> references a memory location that does not exist on the Tag or <u>Truncate</u> = 1 and <u>Pointer</u> is outside the EPC specified in the PC bits, in which case the Tag shall consider the <i>Select</i> to be non-matching.	Tag	By design
197	6.3.2.10.1.1	If an Interrogator asserts <u>Truncate</u> , and if a subsequent <i>Query</i> specifies <u>Sel</u> =10 or <u>Sel</u> =11, then a Tag shall trun- cate its reply to an <i>ACK</i> to that portion of the EPC imme- diately following <u>Mask</u> , followed by the CRC-16 stored in EPC memory 00_h to $0F_h$.	Tag	By design
198	6.3.2.10.1.1	If an Interrogator asserts <u>Truncate</u> , it shall assert it in the last <i>Select</i> that the Interrogator issues prior to sending a <i>Query</i> , only if the <i>Select</i> has <u>Target</u> = 100_2 , and only if <u>Mask</u> ends in the EPC.	Interro- gator	By design

Item	Protocol Subclause	Requirement	Applies To	How Verified
199	6.3.2.10.1.1	Tags shall power-up with <u>Truncate</u> deasserted.	Tag	By design
200	6.3.2.10.1.1	Tags shall decide whether to truncate their backscattered EPC on the basis of the most recently received <i>Select</i> .	Tag	By design
201	6.3.2.10.1.1	If a Tag receives a <i>Select</i> with <u>Truncate=1</u> but <u>Targe-</u> \underline{t} <>100 ₂ the Tag shall ignore the <i>Select</i> .	Tag	By design
202	6.3.2.10.1.1	If a Tag receives a <i>Select</i> in which <u>Truncate</u> =1 but <u>Mem-Bank</u> <>01, the Tag shall consider the <i>Select</i> to be inva- lid.	Tag	By design
203	6.3.2.10.1.1	If a Tag receives a <i>Select</i> in which <u>Truncate</u> =1, <u>Mem-Bank</u> =01, but <u>Mask</u> ends outside the EPC specified in the PC bits, the Tag shall consider the <i>Select</i> to be not matching.	Tag	By design
204	6.3.2.10.1.1	Mask may end at the last bit of the EPC, in which case a selected Tag shall backscatter its CRC-16.	Tag	By design
205	6.3.2.10.1.1	A Tag shall preface its truncated reply with five leading zeros (00000_2) inserted between the preamble and the truncated reply.	Tag	By design
206	6.3.2.10.1.1	Interrogators shall prepend a <i>Select</i> with a frame-sync (see 6.3.1.2.8).	Interro- gator	By design
207	6.3.2.10.1.1	Tags shall not reply to a <i>Select</i> .	Tag	By design Also tested in compliance with 6.3.2.4, Figure 6.19
208	6.3.2.10.2.1	Interrogators and Tags shall implement the Query com- mand shown in Table 6.19.	Tag and Interro- gator	By design Also tested in compliance with 6.3.2.4, Figure 6.19
209	6.3.2.10.2.1	Interrogators shall prepend a <i>Query</i> with a preamble (see 6.3.1.2.8).	Interro- gator	By design Also tested in compliance with 6.3.2.4, Figure 6.19
210	6.3.2.10.2.1	If a Tag receives a <i>Query</i> with a CRC-5 error it shall ig- nore the command.	Tag	By design
211	6.3.2.10.2.1	Upon receiving a <i>Query</i> , Tags with matching <u>Sel</u> and <u>Target</u> shall pick a random value in the range $(0, 2^Q - 1)$, inclusive, and shall load this value into their slot counter.	Tag	By design
212	6.3.2.10.2.1	If a Tag, in response to the <i>Query</i> , loads its slot counter with zero, then its reply to a <i>Query</i> shall be as shown in Table 6.20; otherwise the Tag shall remain silent.	Tag	By design
213	6.3.2.10.2.1	If a Tag in the acknowledged , open , or secured states receives a <i>Query</i> whose <u>session</u> parameter matches the prior session it shall invert its inventoried flag (i.e. $A \rightarrow B$ or $B \rightarrow A$) for the session before it evaluates whether to transition to ready , arbitrate , or reply .	Tag	By design
214	6.3.2.10.2.1	If a Tag in the acknowledged , open , or secured states receives a <i>Query</i> whose <u>session</u> parameter does not match the prior session it shall leave its inventoried flag for the prior session unchanged when beginning the new round.	Tag	By design
215	6.3.2.10.2.1	Tags shall support all DR and M values specified in Table 6.9 and Table 6.10, respectively.	Tag	By design
216	6.3.2.10.2.1	Tags in any state other than killed shall execute a <i>Query</i> command, starting a new round in the specified session and transitioning to ready , arbitrate , or reply , as appropriate (see Figure 6.19).	Tag	By design

Item	Protocol Subclause	Requirement	Applies To	How Verified
217	6.3.2.10.2.1	Tags in the killed state shall ignore a <i>Query</i> .	Tag	By design
218	6.3.2.10.2.2	Interrogators and Tags shall implement the <i>QueryAdjust</i> command shown in Table 6.21.	Tag and Interro- gator	By design Also tested in compliance with 6.3.2.4, Figure 6.19
219	6.3.2.10.2.2	If a Tag receives a <i>QueryAdjust</i> whose session number is different from the session number in the <i>Query</i> that initiated the round it shall ignore the command.	Tag	By design
220	6.3.2.10.2.2	If a Tag receives a <i>QueryAdjust</i> with an <u>UpDn</u> value dif- ferent from those specified above it shall ignore the command.	Tag	By design
221	6.3.2.10.2.2	If a Tag whose Q value is 15 receives a QueryAdjust with UpDn = 110 it shall change UpDn to 000 prior to executing the command; likewise, if a Tag whose Q value is 0 receives a QueryAdjust with UpDn = 011 it shall change UpDn to 000 prior to executing the command.	Tag	By design
222	6.3.2.10.2.2	Tags shall maintain a running count of the current Q value.	Tag	By design
223	6.3.2.10.2.2	A <i>QueryAdjust</i> shall be prepended with a frame-sync (see 6.3.1.2.8).	Interro- gator	By design
224	6.3.2.10.2.2	If a Tag, in response to the <i>QueryAdjust</i> , loads its slot counter with zero, then its reply to a <i>QueryAdjust</i> shall be shown in Table 6.22; otherwise, the Tag shall remain silent.	Tag	By design
225	6.3.2.10.2.2	Tags shall respond to a <i>QueryAdjust</i> only if they received a prior <i>Query</i> .	Tag	By design
226	6.3.2.10.2.2	Tags in any state except ready or killed shall execute a <i>QueryAdjust</i> command if, and only if, (i) the <u>session</u> parameter in the command matches the <u>session</u> parameter in the <i>Query</i> that started the round, and (ii) the Tag is not in the middle of a <i>Kill</i> or <i>Access</i> command sequence (see 6.3.2.10.3.4 or 6.3.2.10.3.6, respectively).	Tag	By design
227	6.3.2.10.2.2	Tags in the acknowledged , open , or secured states that receive a <i>QueryAdjust</i> whose <u>session</u> parameter matches the <u>session</u> parameter in the prior <i>Query</i> , and who are not in the middle of a <i>Kill</i> or <i>Access</i> command sequence (see 6.3.2.10.3.4 or 6.3.2.10.3.6, respectively), shall invert their inventoried flag (i.e. $A \rightarrow B$ or $B \rightarrow A$, as appropriate) for the current session and transition to ready .	Tag	By design
228	6.3.2.10.2.3	Interrogators and Tags shall implement the QueryRep command shown in Table 6.23.	Tag and Interro- gator	By design Also tested in compliance with 6.3.2.4, Figure 6.19
229	6.3.2.10.2.3	If a Tag receives a <i>QueryRep</i> whose session number is different from the session number in the <i>Query</i> that in- itiated the round it shall ignore the command.	Тад	By design
230	6.3.2.10.2.3	A <i>QueryRep</i> shall be prepended with a frame-sync (see 6.3.1.2.8).	Interro- gator	By design
231	6.3.2.10.2.3	If a Tag, in response to the <i>QueryRep</i> , decrements its slot counter and the decremented slot value is zero, then its reply to a <i>QueryRep</i> shall be as shown in Table 6.24; otherwise the Tag shall remain silent.	Tag	By design
232	6.3.2.10.2.3	Tags shall respond to a <i>QueryRep</i> only if they received a prior <i>Query</i> .	Тад	By design

ltem	Protocol Subclause	Requirement	Applies To	How Verified	
233	6.3.2.10.2.3	Tags in any state except ready or killed shall execute a <i>QueryRep</i> command if, and only if, (i) the <u>session</u> para- meter in the command matches the <u>session</u> parameter in the <i>Query</i> that started the round, and (ii) the Tag is not in the middle of a <i>Kill</i> or <i>Access</i> command sequence (see 6.3.2.10.3.4 or 6.3.2.10.3.6, respectively).	Tag	By design	
234	6.3.2.10.2.3	Tags in the acknowledged , open , or secured states that receive a <i>QueryRep</i> whose <u>session</u> parameter matches the <u>session</u> parameter in the prior <i>Query</i> , and who are not in the middle of a <i>Kill</i> or <i>Access</i> command sequence (see 6.3.2.10.3.4 or 6.3.2.10.3.6, respectively), shall invert their inventoried flag (i.e. $A \rightarrow B$ or $B \rightarrow A$, as appropriate) for the current session and transition to ready .	Tag	By design	
235	6.3.2.10.2.4	Interrogators and Tags shall implement the ACK com- mand shown in Table 6.25.	Tag and Interro- gator	By design Also tested in compliance with 6.3.2.4, Figure 6.19	
236	6.3.2.10.2.4	If an Interrogator issues an <i>ACK</i> to a Tag in the reply or acknowledged states, then the echoed RN16 shall be the RN16 that the Tag previously backscattered as it transitioned from the arbitrate state to the reply state.	Interro- gator	By design	
237	6.3.2.10.2.4	If an Interrogator issues an <i>ACK</i> to a Tag in the open or secured states, then the echoed RN16 shall be the Tag's <u>handle</u> (see 6.3.2.10.3.1).	Interro- gator	By design	
238	6.3.2.10.2.4	An <i>ACK</i> shall be prepended with a frame-sync (see 6.3.1.2.8).	Interro- gator	By design	
239	6.3.2.10.2.4	The Tag reply to a successful <i>ACK</i> shall be as shown in Table 6.26.	Tag	By design Also tested in compliance with 6.3.2.4, Figure 6.19	
240	6.3.2.10.2.4	A Tag that receives an <i>ACK</i> with an incorrect RN16 or an incorrect <u>handle</u> (as appropriate) shall return to arbitrate without responding, unless the Tag is in ready or killed , in which case it shall ignore the <i>ACK</i> and remain in its present state.	Tag	By design	
241	6.3.2.10.2.5	Interrogators and Tags shall implement the NAK com- mand shown in Table 6.27.	Tag and Interro- gator	By design Also tested in compliance with 6.3.2.4, Figure 6.19	
242	6.3.2.10.2.5	Any Tag that receives a <i>NAK</i> shall return to the arbitrate state without changing its inventoried flag, unless the Tag is in ready or killed , in which case it shall ignore the <i>NAK</i> and remain in its current state.	Tag	By design	
243	6.3.2.10.2.5	A <i>NAK</i> shall be prepended with a frame-sync (see 6.3.1.2.8).	Interro- gator	By design	
244	6.3.2.10.2.5	Tags shall not reply to a NAK.	Tag	By design Also tested in compliance with 6.3.2.4, Figure 6.19	
245	6.3.2.10.3	When in either of these two states, Tags shall verify that the <u>handle</u> is correct prior to executing an access command, and shall ignore access commands with an incorrect <u>handle</u> .	Tag	By design	

Item	Protocol Subclause	Requirement	Applies To	How Verified		
246	6.3.2.10.3	A Tag's reply to all access commands that write memory (i.e. <i>Write</i> , <i>Kill</i> , <i>Lock</i> , <i>BlockWrite</i> , and <i>BlockErase</i>) shall use the extended preamble shown in Figure 6.11 or Fig- ure 6.15, as appropriate (i.e. the Tag shall reply as if TRext=1 regardless of the TRext value specified in the <i>Query</i> command that initiated the inventory round).	Tag	By design Also tested in compliance with 6.3.2.4, Figure 6.19		
247	6.3.2.10.3	If an Interrogator attempts to write directly to EPC memo- ry 00_h to $0F_h$ using either a <i>Write</i> or a <i>BlockWrite</i> com- mand, the Tag shall respond with an error code (see <u>Annex I</u> for error-code definitions and for the reply for- mat).	Tag	By design		
248	6.3.2.10.3	Tags shall ignore optional access commands that they do not support.	Tag	By design		
249	6.3.2.10.3.1	Interrogators and Tags shall implement the <i>Req_RN</i> command shown in Table 6.28.	Tag and Interro- gator	By design Also tested in compliance with 6.3.2.4, Figure 6.19		
250	6.3.2.10.3.1	When issuing a <i>Req_RN</i> command to a Tag in the ac- knowledged state, an Interrogator shall include the Tag's last backscattered RN16 as a parameter in the <i>Req_RN</i> .	Interro- gator	By design		
251	6.3.2.10.3.1	If the Tag receives the <i>Req_RN</i> with a valid CRC-16 and a valid RN16 it shall generate and store a new RN16 (denoted <u>handle</u>), backscatter this <u>handle</u> , and transition to the open or secured state.	Tag	By design		
252	6.3.2.10.3.1	If the Tag receives the <i>Req_RN</i> command with a valid CRC-16 but an invalid RN16 it shall ignore the <i>Req_RN</i> and remain in the acknowledged state.	Tag	By design		
253	6.3.2.10.3.1	When issuing a <i>Req_RN</i> command to a Tag in the open or secured states, an Interrogator shall include the Tag's <u>handle</u> as a parameter in the <i>Req_RN</i> .	Interro- gator	By design		
254	6.3.2.10.3.1	If the Tag receives the <i>Req_RN</i> with a valid CRC-16 and a valid <u>handle</u> it shall generate and backscatter a new RN16.	Tag	By design		
255	6.3.2.10.3.1	If the Tag receives the <i>Req_RN</i> with a valid CRC-16 but an invalid <u>handle</u> it shall ignore the <i>Req_RN</i> .	Tag	By design		
256	6.3.2.10.3.1	In either case the Tag shall remain in its current state (open or secured , as appropriate).	Tag	By design		
257	6.3.2.10.3.1	Tags that receive an <i>ACK</i> with an invalid <u>handle</u> shall return to arbitrate (Note: If a Tag receives an <i>ACK</i> with an invalid <u>handle</u> it returns to arbitrate , whereas if it receives an access command with an invalid <u>handle</u> it ignores the command).	Tag	By design		
258	6.3.2.10.3.1	The first bit of the backscattered RN16 shall be denoted the MSB; the last bit shall be denoted the LSB.	Tag	By design		
259	6.3.2.10.3.1	A <i>Req_RN</i> shall be prepended with a frame-sync (see 6.3.1.2.8).	Interro- gator	By design		
260	6.3.2.10.3.1	The Tag reply to a <i>Req_RN</i> shall be as shown in Table 6.29.	Tag	By design Also tested in compliance with 6.3.2.4, Figure 6.19		
261	6.3.2.10.3.2	Interrogators and Tags shall implement the <i>Read</i> command shown in Table 6.30.	Tag and Interro- gator	By design Also tested in compliance with 6.3.2.4, Figure 6.19		
262	6.3.2.10.3.2	Read commands shall apply to a single memory bank.	Tag	By design		

Item	Protocol Subclause	Requirement	Applies To	How Verified	
263	6.3.2.10.3.2	If <u>WordCount</u> = 00_h the Tag shall backscatter the con- tents of the chosen memory bank starting at <u>WordPtr</u> and ending at the end of the bank, unless <u>MemBank</u> = 01, in which case the Tag shall backscatter the EPC memory contents starting at <u>WordPtr</u> and ending at the length of the EPC specified by the first 5 bits of the PC if <u>WordPtr</u> lies within the EPC, and shall backscatter the EPC mem- ory contents starting at <u>WordPtr</u> and ending at the end of EPC memory if <u>WordPtr</u> lies outside the EPC.	Tag	By design	
264	6.3.2.10.3.2	If a Tag receives a <i>Read</i> with a valid CRC-16 but an invalid <u>handle</u> it shall ignore the <i>Read</i> and remain in its current state (open or secured , as appropriate).	Tag	By design	
265	6.3.2.10.3.2	A <i>Read</i> shall be prepended with a frame-sync (see 6.3.1.2.8).	Interro- gator	By design	
266	6.3.2.10.3.2	If all of the memory words specified in a <i>Read</i> exist and none are read-locked, the Tag reply to the <i>Read</i> shall be as shown in Table 6.31.	Tag	By design	
267	6.3.2.10.3.2	If a one or more of the memory words specified in the <i>Read</i> command either do not exist or are read-locked, the Tag shall backscatter an error code, within time T_1 in Table 6.13, rather than the reply shown in Table 6.31 (see <u>Annex I</u> for error-code definitions and for the reply format).	Tag	By design	
268	6.3.2.10.3.3	Interrogators and Tags shall implement the <i>Write</i> command shown in Table 6.32.	Tag and Interro- gator	By design Also tested in compliance with 6.3.2.4, Figure 6.19	
269	6.3.2.10.3.3	Before each and every <i>Write</i> the Interrogator shall first issue a <i>Req_RN</i> command; the Tag responds by back-scattering a new RN16.	Interro- gator	By design	
270	6.3.2.10.3.3	The Interrogator shall cover-code the <u>data</u> by EXORing it with this new RN16 prior to transmission.	Interro- gator	By design	
271	6.3.2.10.3.3	If a Tag in the open or secured states receives a <i>Write</i> with a valid CRC-16 but an invalid <u>handle</u> , or it receives a <i>Write</i> before which the immediately preceding command was not a <i>Req_RN</i> , it shall ignore the <i>Write</i> and remain in its current state.	Tag	By design	
272	6.3.2.10.3.3	A <i>Write</i> shall be prepended with a frame-sync (see 6.3.1.2.8).	Interro- gator	By design	
273	6.3.2.10.3.3	After issuing a <i>Write</i> an Interrogator shall transmit CW for the lesser of T_{REPLY} or 20ms, where T_{REPLY} is the time between the Interrogator's <i>Write</i> command and the Tag's backscattered reply.	Interro- gator	By design Also tested in compliance with 6.3.2.4, Figure 6.19	
274	6.3.2.10.3.3	After completing the <i>Write</i> a Tag shall backscatter the reply shown in Table 6.33 and Figure 6.23 comprising a header (a 0-bit), the Tag's <u>handle</u> , and a CRC-16 calculated over the 0-bit and <u>handle</u> .	Tag	By design Also tested in compliance with 6.3.2.4, Figure 6.19	
275	6.3.2.10.3.3	The Tag shall backscatter an error code during the CW period rather than the reply shown in Table 6.33 (see <u>Annex I</u> for error-code definitions and for the reply format).	Tag	By design	
276	6.3.2.10.3.3	Upon receiving a valid <i>Write</i> command a Tag shall write the commanded <u>Data</u> into memory.	Tag	By design	

Item	Protocol Subclause	Requirement	Applies To	How Verified	
277	6.3.2.10.3.3	The Tag's reply to a <i>Write</i> shall use the extended preamble shown in Figure 6.11 or Figure 6.15, as appropriate (i.e. a Tag shall reply as if TRext=1 regardless of the TRext value in the <i>Query</i> that initiated the round).	Tag	By design Also tested in compliance with 6.3.2.4, Figure 6.19	
278	6.3.2.10.3.4	Interrogators and Tags shall implement the <i>Kill</i> command shown in Table 6.34.	Tag and Interro- gator	By design Also tested in compliance with 6.3.2.4, Figure 6.19	
279	6.3.2.10.3.4	When communicating with Class-1 Tags, Interrogators shall set these bits to 000_2 .	Interro- gator	By design	
280	6.3.2.10.3.4	Class-1 Tags shall ignore these bits.	Tag	By design	
281	6.3.2.10.3.4	To kill a Tag, an Interrogator shall follow the multi-step kill procedure outlined in Figure 6.23.	Interro- gator	By design	
282	6.3.2.10.3.4	Each EXOR operation shall be performed MSB first (i.e. the MSB of each half-password shall be EXORed with the MSB of its respective RN16).	Interro- gator	By design	
283	6.3.2.10.3.4	Tags shall incorporate the necessary logic to successive- ly accept two 16-bit subportions of a 32-bit kill password.	Tag	By design	
284	6.3.2.10.3.4	Interrogators shall not intersperse commands other than <i>Req_RN</i> between the two successive <i>Kill</i> commands.	Interro- gator	By design	
285	6.3.2.10.3.4	If a Tag, after receiving a first <i>Kill</i> , receives any valid command other than <i>Req_RN</i> before the second <i>Kill</i> it shall return to arbitrate , unless the intervening command is a <i>Query</i> , in which case the Tag shall execute the <i>Query</i> (inverting its inventoried flag if the <u>session</u> parameter in the <i>Query</i> matches the prior session).	Tag	By design	
286	6.3.2.10.3.4	The Tag reply to the first <i>Kill</i> shall be as shown in Table 6.35.	Tag	By design	
287	6.3.2.10.3.4	The reply shall use the TRext value specified in the <i>Query</i> command that initiated the round.	Tag	By design	
288	6.3.2.10.3.4	After issuing the second <i>Kill</i> an Interrogator shall transmit CW for the lesser of T_{REPLY} or 20ms, where T_{REPLY} is the time between the Interrogator's second <i>Kill</i> command and the Tag's backscattered reply.	Interro- gator	By design Also tested in compliance with 6.3.2.4, Figure 6.19	
289	6.3.2.10.3.4	After completing the <i>Kill</i> the Tag shall backscatter the reply shown in Table 6.36 and Figure 6.22 comprising a header (a 0-bit), the Tag's <u>handle</u> , and a CRC-16 calculated over the 0-bit and <u>handle</u> .	Tag	By design Also tested in compliance with 6.3.2.4, Figure 6.19	
290	6.3.2.10.3.4	Immediately after this reply the Tag shall render itself silent and shall not respond to an Interrogator thereafter.	Tag	By design	
291	6.3.2.10.3.4	The Tag shall backscatter an error code during the CW period rather than the reply shown in Table 6.36 (see <u>Annex I</u> for error-code definitions and for the reply format).	Tag	By design	
292	6.3.2.10.3.4	A <i>Kill</i> shall be prepended with a frame-sync (see 6.3.1.2.8).	Interro- gator	By design	
293	6.3.2.10.3.4	Upon receiving a valid <i>Kill</i> command sequence a Tag shall render itself killed .	Тад	By design	
294	6.3.2.10.3.4	The Tag's reply to the second <i>Kill</i> command shall use the extended preamble shown in Figure 6.11 or Figure 6.15, as appropriate (i.e. a Tag shall reply as if TRext=1 re- gardless of the TRext value in the <i>Query</i> that initiated the round).	Tag	By design Also tested in compliance with 6.3.2.4, Figure 6.19	

Item	Protocol Subclause	Requirement	Applies To	How Verified	
295	6.3.2.10.3.5	Interrogators and Tags shall implement the <i>Lock</i> command shown in Table 6.37 and Figure 6.24.	Tag and Interro- gator	By design Also tested in compliance with 6.3.2.4, Figure 6.19	
296	6.3.2.10.3.5	Only Tags in the secured state shall execute a <i>Lock</i> command.	Tag	By design	
297	6.3.2.10.3.5	A Tag shall interpret these bit values as follows: $\underline{Mask} = 0$: Ignore the associated <u>Action</u> field and retain the current lock setting; $\underline{Mask} = 1$: Implement the associated <u>Action</u> field and overwrite the current lock setting.	Tag	By design	
298	6.3.2.10.3.5	A Tag shall interpret these bit values as follows: <u>Action</u> = 0: Deassert lock for the associated memory location; Action = 1: Assert lock or permalock for the associated memory location.	Tag	By design	
299	6.3.2.10.3.5	The payload of a <i>Lock</i> command shall always be 20 bits in length.	Tag	By design	
300	6.3.2.10.3.5	If an Interrogator issues a <i>Lock</i> command whose <u>Mask</u> and <u>Action</u> fields attempt to change the lock status of a nonexistent memory bank or nonexistent password, the Tag shall ignore the entire <i>Lock</i> command and instead backscatter an error code (see <u>Annex I</u>).	Tag	By design	
301	6.3.2.10.3.5	If a Tag receives a <i>Lock</i> whose payload attempts to deassert a previously asserted permalock bit, the Tag shall ignore the <i>Lock</i> and backscatter an error code (see <u>Annex I</u>).	Tag	By design	
302	6.3.2.10.3.5	If a Tag receives a <i>Lock</i> whose payload attempts to reassert a previously asserted permalock bit, the Tag shall simply ignore this particular <u>Action</u> field and implement the remainder of the <i>Lock</i> payload.	Tag	By design	
303	6.3.2.10.3.5	All Tags shall implement memory locking and all Tags shall implement the <i>Lock</i> command.	Tag	By design Also tested in compliance with 6.3.2.4, Figure 6.19	
304	6.3.2.10.3.5	Specifically, if a Tag receives a <i>Lock</i> it cannot execute because one or more of the passwords or memory banks do not exist, or one or more of the <u>Action</u> fields attempt to change a previously permalocked value, or one or more of the passwords or memory banks are either not lockable or not unlockable, the Tag shall ignore the entire <i>Lock</i> and instead backscatter an error code (see <u>Annex I</u>).	Tag	By design	
305	6.3.2.10.3.5	The only exception to this general rule relates to Tags whose only lock functionality is to permanently lock all memory (i.e. all memory banks and all passwords) at once; these Tags shall execute a <i>Lock</i> whose payload is FFFFF _h , and shall backscatter an error code for any payload other than FFFFF _h .	Tag	By design	
306	6.3.2.10.3.5	A <i>Lock</i> shall be prepended with a frame-sync (see 6.3.1.2.8).	Interro- gator	By design	
307	6.3.2.10.3.5	After issuing a <i>Lock</i> an Interrogator shall transmit CW for the lesser of T_{REPLY} or 20ms, where T_{REPLY} is the time between the Interrogator's <i>Lock</i> command and the Tag's backscattered reply.	Interro- gator	By design Also tested in compliance with 6.3.2.4, Figure 6.19	
308	6.3.2.10.3.5	After completing the <i>Lock</i> the Tag shall backscatter the reply shown in Table 6.38 and Figure 6.22 comprising a header (a 0-bit), the Tag's <u>handle</u> , and a CRC-16 calculated over the 0-bit and <u>handle</u> .	Tag	By design Also tested in compliance with 6.3.2.4, Figure 6.19	

Item	Protocol Subclause	Requirement	Applies To	How Verified	
309	6.3.2.10.3.5	The Tag shall backscatter an error code during the CW period rather than the reply shown in Table 6.38 (see <u>Annex I</u> for error-code definitions and for the reply format).	Tag	By design	
310	6.3.2.10.3.5	Upon receiving a valid <i>Lock</i> command a Tag shall per- form the commanded lock operation.	Tag	By design	
311	6.3.2.10.3.5	The Tag's reply to a <i>Lock</i> shall use the extended preamble shown in Figure 6.11or Figure 6.15, as appropriate (i.e. a Tag shall reply as if TRext=1 regardless of the TRext value in the <i>Query</i> that initiated the round).	Тад	By design Also tested in compliance with 6.3.2.4, Figure 6.19	
312	6.3.2.10.3.6	Interrogators and Tags may implement an <i>Access</i> command; if they do, the command shall be as shown in Table 6.40.	Tag and Interro- gator	By design Also tested in compliance with 6.3.2.4, Figure 6.19	
313	6.3.2.10.3.6	To access a Tag, an Interrogator shall follow the multi- step procedure outlined in Figure 6.25.	Interro- gator	By design	
314	6.3.2.10.3.6	Each EXOR operation shall be performed MSB first (i.e. the MSB of each half-password shall be EXORed with the MSB of its respective RN16).	Interro- gator	By design	
315	6.3.2.10.3.6	Tags shall incorporate the necessary logic to successive- ly accept two 16-bit subportions of a 32-bit access pass- word.	Tag	By design	
316	6.3.2.10.3.6	Interrogators shall not intersperse commands other than <i>Req_RN</i> between the two successive <i>Access</i> commands.	Interro- gator	By design	
317	6.3.2.10.3.6	If a Tag, after receiving a first <i>Access</i> , receives any valid command other than <i>Req_RN</i> before the second <i>Access</i> it shall return to arbitrate , unless the intervening command is a <i>Query</i> , in which case the Tag shall execute the <i>Query</i> (inverting its inventoried flag if the <u>session</u> parameter in the <i>Query</i> matches the prior session).	Tag	By design	
318	6.3.2.10.3.6	An <i>Access</i> shall be prepended with a frame-sync (see 6.3.1.2.8).	Interro- gator	By design	
319	6.3.2.10.3.6	The Tag reply to an <i>Access</i> command shall be as shown in Table 6.41.	Tag	By design Also tested in compliance with 6.3.2.4, Figure 6.19	
320	6.3.2.10.3.7	Interrogators and Tags may implement a <i>BlockWrite</i> command; if they do, they shall implement it as shown in Table 6.42.	Tag and Interro- gator	By design Also tested in compliance with 6.3.2.4, Figure 6.19	
321	6.3.2.10.3.7	<i>BlockWrite</i> commands shall apply to a single memory bank.	Tag	By design	
322	6.3.2.10.3.7	If <u>WordCount</u> = 00_h the Tag shall ignore the <i>BlockWrite</i> .	Tag	By design	
323	6.3.2.10.3.7	If $\underline{WordCount} = 01_h$ the Tag shall write a single data word.	Tag	By design	
324	6.3.2.10.3.7	Data contains the 16-bit words to be written, and shall be $16 \times \frac{WordCount}{16}$ bits in length.	Interro- gator	By design	
325	6.3.2.10.3.7	If a Tag receives a <i>BlockWrite</i> with a valid CRC-16 but an invalid <u>handle</u> it shall ignore the <i>BlockWrite</i> and remain in its current state (open or secured , as appropriate).	Тад	By design	
326	6.3.2.10.3.7	A <i>BlockWrite</i> shall be prepended with a frame-sync (see 6.3.1.2.8).	Interro- gator	By design	

Item	Protocol Subclause	Requirement	Applies To	How Verified	
327	6.3.2.10.3.7	After issuing a <i>BlockWrite</i> an Interrogator shall transmit CW for the lesser of T_{REPLY} or 20ms, where T_{REPLY} is the time between the Interrogator's <i>BlockWrite</i> command and the Tag's backscattered reply.	Interro- gator	By design Also tested in compliance with 6.3.2.4, Figure 6.19	
328	6.3.2.10.3.7	After completing the <i>BlockWrite</i> a Tag shall backscatter the reply shown in Table 6.43 and Figure 6.22 comprising a header (a 0-bit), the Tag's <u>handle</u> , and a CRC-16 calculated over the 0-bit and <u>handle</u> .	Tag	By design Also tested in compliance with 6.3.2.4, Figure 6.19	
329	6.3.2.10.3.7	The Tag shall backscatter an error code during the CW period rather than the reply shown in Table 6.43 (see Annex I for error-code definitions and for the reply format).	Tag	By design Also tested in compliance with 6.3.2.4, Figure 6.19	
330	6.3.2.10.3.7	Upon receiving a valid <i>BlockWrite</i> command a Tag shall write the commanded <u>Data</u> into memory.	Tag	By design	
331	6.3.2.10.3.7	The Tag's reply to a <i>BlockWrite</i> shall use the extended preamble shown in Figure 6.11 or Figure 6.15, as appropriate (i.e. a Tag shall reply as if TRext=1 regardless of the TRext value in the <i>Query</i> that initiated the round).	Tag	By design Also tested in compliance with 6.3.2.4, Figure 6.19	
332	6.3.2.10.3.8	Interrogators and Tags may implement a <i>BlockErase</i> command; if they do, they shall implement it as shown in Table 6.44.	Tag and Interro- gator	By design Also tested in compliance with 6.3.2.4, Figure 6.19	
333	6.3.2.10.3.8	<i>BlockErase</i> commands shall apply to a single memory bank.	Tag	By design	
334	6.3.2.10.3.8	If <u>WordCount</u> = 00_h the Tag shall ignore the <i>BlockErase</i> .	Tag	By design	
335	6.3.2.10.3.8	If $\underline{WordCount} = 01_h$ the Tag shall erase a single data word.	Tag	By design	
336	6.3.2.10.3.8	If a Tag receives a <i>BlockErase</i> with a valid CRC-16 but an invalid <u>handle</u> it shall ignore the <i>BlockErase</i> and re- main in its current state (open or secured , as appropri- ate).	Tag	By design	
337	6.3.2.10.3.8	A <i>BlockErase</i> shall be prepended with a frame-sync (seev6.3.1.2.8).	Interro- gator	By design	
338	6.3.2.10.3.8	After issuing a <i>BlockErase</i> an Interrogator shall transmit CW for the lesser of T_{REPLY} or 20ms, where T_{REPLY} is the time between the Interrogator's <i>BlockErase</i> command and the Tag's backscattered reply.	Interro- gator	By design Also tested in compliance with 6.3.2.4, Figure 6.19	
339	6.3.2.10.3.8	After completing the <i>BlockErase</i> a Tag shall backscatter the reply shown in Table 6.45 and Figure 6.22 comprising a header (a 0-bit), the Tag's <u>handle</u> , and a CRC-16 calculated over the 0-bit and <u>handle</u> .	Tag	By design Also tested in compliance with 6.3.2.4, Figure 6.19	
340	6.3.2.10.3.8	The Tag shall backscatter an error code during the CW period rather than the reply shown in Table 6.45 (see <u>Annex I</u> for error-code definitions and for the reply format).	Tag	By design	
341	6.3.2.10.3.8	Upon receiving a valid <i>BlockErase</i> command a Tag shall erase the commanded memory words.	Tag	By design	
342	6.3.2.10.3.8	The Tag's reply to a <i>BlockErase</i> shall use the extended preamble shown in Figure 6.11 or Figure 6.15, as appropriate (i.e. a Tag shall reply as if TRext=1 regardless of the TRext value in the <i>Query</i> that initiated the round).	Tag	By design Also tested in compliance with 6.3.2.4, Figure 6.19	
343	Annex A	Although a general EBV may contain blocks of varying lengths, Tags and Interrogators manufactured according to this specification shall use blocks of length 8 bits (EBV-8).	Tag and Interro- gator	By design	

Item	Protocol Subclause	Requirement	Applies To	How Verified	
344	Annex A	Tags and Interrogators shall use the EBV-8 word format specified in Table A.1.	Tag and Interro- gator	By design	
345	Annex B	State-transition tables B1 to B7 shall define a Tag's re- sponse to Interrogator commands.	Tag	By design Also tested in compliance with 6.3.2.4, Figure 6.19	
346	Table B.1	"Invalid" shall mean an erroneous command, an unsup- ported command, a command with invalid parameters, a command with a CRC error, or any other command ei- ther not recognized or not executable by the Tag.		Definition. Not verified.	
347	Table B.2	"Invalid" shall mean an erroneous command, an unsup- ported command, a command with invalid parameters, a command with a CRC error, a command (other than a Query) with a session parameter not matching that of the inventory round currently in progress, or any other command either not recognized or not executable by the Tag.		Definition. Not verified.	
348	Table B.3	"Invalid" shall mean an erroneous command, an unsup- ported command, a command with invalid parameters, a command with a CRC error, a command (other than a Query) with a session parameter not matching that of the inventory round currently in progress, or any other command either not recognized or not executable by the Tag.		Definition. Not verified.	
349	Table B.4	"Invalid" shall mean an erroneous command, an unsup- ported command, a command with invalid parameters, a command with a CRC error, a command (other than a Query) with a session parameter not matching that of the inventory round currently in progress, or any other command either not recognized or not executable by the Tag.		Definition. Not verified.	
350	Table B.5	"Invalid" shall mean an erroneous command, an unsup- ported command, a command with invalid parameters, a command with a CRC error, a command (other than a <i>Query</i>) with a <u>session</u> parameter not matching that of the inventory round currently in progress, or any other command either not recognized or not executable by the Tag.		Definition. Not verified.	
351	Table B.6	"Invalid" shall mean an erroneous command, an unsup- ported command, a command with invalid parameters, a command with a CRC error, a command (other than a <i>Query</i>) with a <u>session</u> parameter not matching that of the inventory round currently in progress, or any other com- mand either not recognized or not executable by the Tag.		Definition. Not verified.	
352	Table B.7	"Invalid" shall mean an erroneous command, an unsup- ported command, a command with invalid parameters, a command with a CRC error, or any other command either not recognized or not executable by the Tag.		Definition. Not verified.	
353	Annex C	Command-response tables C.1 to C.17 shall define a Tag's response to Interrogator commands.	Tag	By design Also tested in compliance with 6.3.2.4, Figure 6.19	
354	Table C.17	"Invalid" shall mean an erroneous command, an unsup- ported command, a command with invalid parameters, a command with a CRC error, or any other command either not recognized or not executable by the Tag.		Definition. Not verified.	

Item	Protocol Subclause	Requirement	Applies To	How Verified
355	Table C.17	"Invalid" shall mean an erroneous command, an unsup- ported command, a command with invalid parameters, a command with a CRC error, a command (other than a <i>Query</i>) with a <u>session</u> parameter not matching that of the inventory round currently in progress, or any other com- mand either not recognized or not executable by the Tag.		Definition. Not verified.
356	Annex G.1	If permitted by local regulations, Interrogators certified for operation in dense-Interrogator environments shall sup- port one of the TDM or FDM methods described below, determined using the algorithm in Figure G.1.	Interro- gator	By design
357	Annex G.1	Regardless of the choice, Interrogator signaling (both modulated and CW) shall be centered in a channel with the frequency accuracy specified in 6.3.1.2.1, and Interrogator transmissions shall satisfy the dense-Interrogator transmit mask in Figure 6.7.	Interro- gator	By design Also tested in compliance with 6.3.1.2.1 and 6.3.1.2.11
358	Annex G.1	If an Interrogator uses SSB-ASK modulation, the transmit spectrum shall be centered in the channel during R=>T signaling, and the CW shall be centered in the channel during Tag backscatter.	Interro- gator	 By demonstration (only for Interrogators that implement SSB modulation in dense-Interrogator environments) <u>Test conditions:</u> Temp: 23 +/- 3 °C Freq: At channel frequency closest to center of supported band. Power: Maximum Interrogator transmit power, as implemented. Modulation: SSB Tari: 25 μs Backscatter data rate: One or more of the dense-interrogator data rates specified in Annex G of the Protocol specification, as implemented. Other transmit parameters: As implemented
359	Annex G.1	Interrogator transmissions and Tag responses shall be separated temporally, with synchronized Interrogators first commanding Tags, then all Interrogators transmitting CW and listening for Tag responses.	Interro- gator	By design
360	Annex G.1	Interrogator transmissions and Tag responses shall be separated spectrally, using one of the three frequency plans described below.	Interro- gator	By design
361	Annex G.1	Interrogator transmissions shall be centered in channels, and Tag backscatter shall be situated at channel bounda- ries.	Interro- gator	By design
362	Annex G.1	Interrogator transmissions shall be centered in odd- numbered channels, and Tag backscatter shall be si- tuated in even-numbered channels.	Interro- gator	By design
363	Annex G.1	Interrogator transmissions shall be centered in channels, and Tag backscatter shall be situated near but within the channel boundaries.	Interro- gator	By design
364	Annex G.2	When Interrogators in multiple- and dense-Interrogator environments instruct Tags to use subcarrier backscatter, the Interrogators shall adopt the channelization deter- mined by the algorithm in Figure G.1.	Interro- gator	By design

Item	Protocol Subclause	Requirement	Applies To	How Verified	
365	Annex G.2	When Interrogators in multiple- and dense-Interrogator environments instruct Tags to use FM0 backscatter, the Interrogators shall adopt a channelization that is in ac- cordance with local regulations.	Interro- gator	By design	
366	Annex G.2	Regardless of the backscatter data encoding, Interroga- tor transmissions shall satisfy the multiple- or dense- Interrogator transmit mask in 6.3.1.2.11, as appropriate.	Interro- gator	Tested in compliance with 6.3.1.2.11, Figure 6.6 or Figure 6.7	
367	Annex I.1	If a Tag encounters an error when executing an access command that reads from or writes to memory, and if the command is a <u>handle</u> -based command (i.e. <i>Read</i> , <i>Write</i> , <i>Kill</i> , <i>Lock</i> , <i>BlockWrite</i> , or <i>BlockErase</i>), then the Tag shall backscatter an error code as shown in Table I.1 instead of its normal reply.	Tag	By design	
368	Annex I.1	If the Tag supports error-specific codes, it shall use the error-specific codes shown in Table I.2.	Tag	By design	
369	Annex I.1	If the Tag does not support error-specific codes, it shall backscatter error code 00001111 ₂ (indicating a non-specific error) as shown in Table I.2.	Tag	By design	
370	Annex I.1	Tags shall backscatter error codes only from the open or secured states.	Tag	By design	
371	Annex I.1	A Tag shall not backscatter an error code if it receives an invalid access command; instead, it shall ignore the command.	Tag	By design	
372	Annex I.1	If an error is described by more than one error code, the more specific error code shall take precedence and shall be the code that the Tag backscatters.	Tag	By design	
373	Annex J.1	A Tag in the arbitrate state shall decrement its slot counter every time it receives a <i>QueryRep</i> command, transitioning to the reply state and backscattering an RN16 when its slot-counter value reaches 0000 _h .	Tag	By design	
374	Annex J.1	A Tag that returns to arbitrate with a slot-counter value of 0000_h shall decrement its slot-counter from 0000_h to $7FFF_h$ (i.e. the slot counter rolls over) at the next <i>Query-Rep</i> with matching <u>session</u> .	Tag	By design	

7. Revision History

Date & Version Number	Section(s)	Change	Approved by
Nov 14, 2004 Version 1.0.0	All	Original document	
Dec 11, 2004 Version 1.0.1	Multiple	Modified per the Gen2 conformance V1.0.0 comment resolution.	
Jan 26, 2005 Version 1.0.2	Multiple	Modified per the Gen2 V1.0.8 errata and AFI enhancement requests.	
August 10, 2005 Version 1.0.3	Multiple	Modified per the Test and Certification Working Group recommendations.	
February 15, 2006 Version 1.0.4	Multiple	Modified per the Test and Certification Working Group recommendations. Added Annex A.	
February 28, 2007 Version 1.0.5	Multiple	Modified per the Gen2 V1.0.0.	

Annex A

A.1 Scope

This annex provides additional explanation of conformance items, testing parameters, and equipment badging. Some of the questions answered here are referenced in the **How Verified** column of in the Protocol Requirements table in section 6, while others relate generally to the conformance process.

The terms Reader and Interrogator are synonymous.

A.2 Q and A

Q1: How does a reader vendor specify R=>T and T=>R parameters to be tested?

A: The reader vendor specifies modulation type, PIE ratio, DR and mask type (dense, multi or single) for each Tari/Backscatter Data Rate (BDR)/encoding combination they wish to have tested in the Mode Table (Table A-1 is a sample completed table). BDR is defined as Backscatter Link Frequency (BLF) divided by M.

Field entry options are:

Modulation types	DSB-ASK, SSB-ASK or PR-ASK
PIE ratio	A value in the range 1.5:1 to 2:1, inclusive
DR	8 or 64/3
Mask type	DI (Dense Interrogator), MI (Multi Interrogator), or SI (Single Interrogator)

The vendor enters up to six Tari's to be tested. If more than one Tari value is to be tested, the vendor must list their minimum and maximum Tari.

The same encoding/BDR values can appear more than once (note M=8, BDR=32 entries in the subcarrier and FDM DI categories in Table A-1). This is necessary if a different modulation type, PIE ratio, DR value, or mask type is to be tested for the same Tari/BDR/encoding values.

A "mode" is defined as a combination of Tari, modulation type, PIE, DR, BDR, mask type, and encoding (i.e. a particular entry in the Mode Table). For example, Table A-1 indicates six "modes" for testing.

Backscatter Encoding	М	Backscatter	atter Tari (μs)					
		(kbps)	25	7.14	10			
FMO	1	160			PR/2:1/8			
	1	320		DS/1.5:1/8				
	1	640		DS/1.5:1/64				
	8	64	PR/2:1/64					
	8	32	PR/1.5:1/64					
Subcarrier								
Subcamer								
FDM DI	8	32	PR/2:1/64					
TDM DI								

Table A-1 – Sample of completed Reader Mode Table

Key:

Dense interrogator mask met (necessary but not sufficient for DI certification)
Multiple interrogator mask met
Single interrogator mask met

DS DSB-ASK SS SSB-ASK

SS	SSB-ASK
PR	PR-ASK

X:1 PIE ratio

8 DR=8

64 DR=64/3

Table A-2 is a Mode Table template where VS indicates Vendor Selection, parameters to be chosen by the vendor. Limits such as >1, indicate parameter restrictions.

Backscatter Encoding	М	Backscatter	Tari (μs)					
		(kbps)	VS max	VS min	VS	VS	VS	VS
FM0	1	VS						
	1	VS						
	1	VS						
	1	VS						
	1	VS						
	1	VS						
	VS >1	VS						
	VS >1	VS						
Subcarrier	VS >1	VS						
Subcarrier	VS >1	VS						
	VS >1	VS						
	VS >1	VS						
FDM DI	VS >2	VS						
	VS >2	VS						
TDM DI	VS	VS						
	VS	VS						

Table A-2 – Reader Mode Table template

Parameters declared in the table are tested. The entries uniquely determine the expected RTcal and TRcal values. The test facility will derive test limits from these values.

Q2: The Mode Table is informative but contains an overwhelming amount of information. Is the HW certified or not?

A: EPCglobal will list a Conformance Badge for Readers and Tags. For Readers, the Conformance Badge will direct the viewer to the mode table for more detailed information. The following are examples of Reader and Tag badges.

Reader Conformance Badge

Reader or Module	Reader	Reader, M
Intended Operating Region	US	Intended r
Frequency Range	902 – 928 MHz	Band of op
Modulation Types	PR-ASK and DSB-ASK	PR-ASK, I
Tari's	7.14 μs, 25 μs, 10 μs	Tested Ta
Backscatter Encoding Support	FM0, Miller Subcarrier	FM0, Mille
Frequency Scheme	FHSS	FHSS, Ag
Temperature Range	-40°C to 65°C	Product te
Environment	Dense and Multi Interrogator	Dense, ML
Dense Operation*	FDM and TDM	N/A, FDM,
Optional Command Support	Access, BlockWrite	Access, B

Options

Reader, Module Intended region of operation Band of operation PR-ASK, DSB-ASK, SSB-ASK Tested Tari's FM0, Miller Subcarrier FHSS, Agility, Fixed Product temperature range Dense, Multi Interrogator N/A, FDM, TDM Access, BlockWrite, BlockErase

* TDM only Dense Interrogator operators should not be used in a dense FDM deployment.

Tag Conformance Badge

Frequency Range	860 – 960 MHz
Backscatter Modulation Type	ASK
Temperature Range	-40°C to 65°C
Optional Command Support	Access, BlockWrite

Options

Band of operation ASK, PSK Product temperature range Access, BlockWrite, BlockErase

Q3: What are the criteria for receiving a DI or MI certification? Does DI or MI certified mean the Reader meets the respective mask in all modes tested?

A: To receive DI certification the vendor shall declare himself an FDM (Frequency Division Multiplexed) and/or TDM (Time Division Multiplexed) operator. An FDM operator intends to participate in a cooperative frequency plan with other FDM operators such that Reader transmissions do not spectrally interfere with Tag backscatter signaling. A TDM operator intends to be on/off multiplexed such that two near-by Readers never transmit simultaneously. **TDM DI only Readers should not be operated in an FDM DI deployment.**

Criteria for getting DI certification are as follows:

- 1a) If FDM then test with Tari of 25 μs, PR-ASK or SSB-ASK, using a subcarrier with M=4 or 8, and declare other mode parameters
- 1b) If TDM then test in declared mode
- 2) Pass DI mask in tested mode
- 3) Pass channelization test (frequency accuracy)

MI certification is granted in the Conformance Badge if the MI mask is met for any one of the modes in the Mode Table; that is, at least one entry contains a yellow mark.

The vendor may choose to be tested against the DI mask in any mode. If the mask is met, this will be indicated in the Mode Table by a green mark. A mark, in itself, is not sufficient to achieve DI certification in the Conformance Badge. The other DI criteria must also be met, and if they are, a green mark will be indicated in the FDM DI or TDM DI portion of the Mode Table.

DI or MI certified does not mean the Reader meets the respective mask for all modes tested.

Q4: Can a Reader get a MI (yellow) and DI (green) mask mark at the same Tari, modulation type, PIE ratio, DR, BDR, and encoding values in the Mode Table?

A: No, either a DI, MI, or SI mask mark is given for a particular set of these parameters. If a DI mask is met, it supercedes MI and SI, so DI credit is given (green mark). Likewise, MI supercedes SI. The vendor chooses DI, MI, or SI testing for a particular set of these parameters. The vendor has the option to move to a less stringent mask if they can not meet the more stringent mask during test.

If at least one of the parameters listed above is unique this will appear as a separate entry in the Mode Table. Both DI and MI certification can be achieved if at least two modes are specified and DI mask testing is performed for one and MI performed for the other. SI is not listed in the Conformance Badge unless neither the DI nor MI criteria are met.

Q5: If table entries are optional, what is the incentive for a vendor to attempt certification in multiple modes? The more modes elected, the greater possibility for failure. A vendor can get credit in the Conformance Badge by passing in just one mode. At the other extreme, test time can become excessive for vendors wishing testing at a large number of Tari's. What are the minimal and maximal test requirements?

A: Vendors are required to test at least one mode at their minimum and maximum Tari. If a Reader only supports one Tari, that Tari is tested and it is shown in the Conformance Badge. If a Reader supports two or more Tari's, testing must occur at minimum and maximum Tari (at least two modes). The vendor can choose to get tested at up to six Tari's, at as many modulation types, PIE ratios, DR's, BDR's, and encoding values as they wish. The Conformance Badge will indicate the Tari's tested, not to exceed six values.

Q6: Numerous interrogator **by demonstration** items in the conformance document specify testing "At center frequency closest to center of supported band". What exactly does this mean?

A: The Gen2 protocol accommodates Readers from any region that regulates UHF RFID between 860 and 960 MHz. Multiple operational frequency bands must therefore be supported in conformance testing. For tests in which "At center frequency closest to center of supported band" is specified as a test condition, the vendor declares this frequency to the testing facility according to the following criteria:

- a) If the Reader is to be certified for operation in North America and supports subcarrier signaling, then the channelization specified in Table G.1 must be supported and the Reader is tested at 915.25 MHz which is the supported channel frequency closest to the center of the band.
- b) If the Reader is to be certified for operation in North America and does not support subcarrier signaling, the Reader is tested at the channel frequency closest to the band center that the Reader supports. The vendor declares that frequency. The vendor may support a sub-band of the FCC band.
- c) If the Reader is to be certified for operation in a region other than North America, the Reader is tested at the channel frequency closest to the band center that the Reader supports. The vendor declares that frequency. The vendor may support a sub-band of the regional band.

If a Reader supports multiple regions, certification is achieved by separately testing each band according to the above guidelines.

The center frequency definition has significance for Multi-Interrogator spectral mask testing (6.3.1.2.11, Figure 6.6). The following clarifies the procedures for Multi-Interrogator testing:

- a) If the Reader is to be certified for operation in North America and supports subcarrier signaling, the spectral mask requirement (Figure 6.6) is centered at a valid channel frequency (Table G.1) for purposes of compliance test. For the purposes of defining the testing mask, a channel is 500 kHz wide.
- b) If the Reader is to be certified for operation in North America and does not support subcarrier signaling, the spectral mask is centered at the vendor declared frequency for purposes of compliance test. For the purposes of defining the test mask, a channel is a maximum of 500 kHz wide. The vendor declares the channel width.
- c) If the Reader is to be certified for operation in a region other than North America, the spectral mask is centered at the vendor declared frequency for purposes of compliance test. For the purposes of defining the test mask, channel width is determined by local regulations and is 200 kHz for a CEPT-regulated re-

gion.

Q7: The conformance document (6.3.1.2.1) specifies that dense-interrogator testing can be limited to the minimum or maximum temperature at which the Reader supports (see Test Condition excerpt below). How does this statement affect me in conformance testing?

Test conditions:

Temp: max(-40, minimum supported temperature) and min(65, maximum supported temperature). If supported temperature range exceeds -25 or 40 then testing will also be performed at -25 or 40 respectively. All temperatures are in °C (all +/- 3 °C)

A: The intent of this wording to provide a certification path for Readers rated for narrower or wider temperature ranges while preventing spectral pollution when they are operated outside their rated range.

The reader vendor declares their rated temperature range on the conformance application form and shows evidence in their by-design documentation that the rated temperature is specified in their product specification. The test facility tests over the declared range. If the vendor passes, the tested range is listed in the vendors Conformance Badge. It is the end users responsibility to deploy the Reader in an environment that does not exceed the tested limits.

For Readers with rated ranges beyond the -40 or 65 limits, testing shall also be performed at -40 or 65, respectively. For Readers with rated ranges between -25 and -40 or between 40 and 65, testing shall also be performed at -25 or 40, respectively.

Q8: For purposes of testing Reader power-up settling time, what defines the end of the settling time interval? The T_s and T_{hs} settling time intervals are shown in Figures 6.3 and 6.5, respectively, in the Gen2 protocol specification.

A: The T_s and T_{hs} intervals end when the envelope settles to within 5% of its 100% electric field strength level.

Q9: The conformance document (6.3.1.2.6 and 6.3.1.2.7) specifies that the Reader RF envelope shall rise and fall monotonically between the specified power limits. Measurement parameters are not specified, so it is feasible that a Reader can fail the monotonicity test due to measurement uncertainty. What is the test procedure that accounts for measurement uncertainty?

A: The test set recovers a time-sampled profile of the rising and falling ramp of the RF envelope. Within the regions that the monotonicity requirements apply, samples are compared to all previous samples. In the case of a falling ramp, the current sample must be less than the previous sample within the measurement tolerance of the test set. For example, if the test set power measurement error is $\pm 2\%$, than the current sample can not exceed any of the previous samples by more than 2%. The test facility shall establish the measurement accuracy of the test set.

Q10: Testing of Reader modulated RF envelope characteristics and symbol durations are specified in 6.3.1.2.3 and 6.3.1.2.5 of the conformance document. These parameters are determined based on A and B measurements as shown in Figure 6.2 of the Gen2 protocol specification. In test, how is A determined?

A: In 6.3.1.2.5 of the Gen2 protocol specification, A is referred to as the maximum amplitude of the RF envelope. In Figure 6.2, A is shown as the midpoint between the maximum and minimum ripple excursions. The ripple represents inter-symbol interference associated with the band-limiting of the transmit symbols. Inter-symbol interference can case the RF envelope to exceed the maximum amplitude of an un-modulated signal with the same power. For consistency with the Gen2 protocol specification, the value of A in 6.3.1.2.3 and 6.3.1.2.5 shall be determined by measuring the un-modulated envelope immediately preceding modulation from the first Reader command issued after the end of the settling interval following a power-up. The test facility shall determine the optimal measurement time to establish an accurate estimate of A.